1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT
$_{2}$	United States Attorney
_	DEBORAH LEE STACHEL, CSBN 230138
3	Regional Chief Counsel, Region IX
4	Social Security Administration
	JENNIFER LEE TARN, CSBN 240609
5	Special Assistant United States Attorney
6	160 Spear Street, Suite 800
	San Francisco, California 94105
7	Telephone: (415) 977-8825
8	Facsimile: (415) 744-0134
	E-Mail: Jennifer.Tarn@ssa.gov
9	Attorneys for Defendant
10	
-	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - FRESNO**

MARJORIE MAUPIN, Plaintiff, v. NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,	Case No. 1:16-cv-00722-SKO UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR FIRST EXTENSION OF TIME; ORDER GRANTING IN PART (Doc. 22)
Defendant.)

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between Marjorie Maupin (Plaintiff) and Nancy A. Berryhill, Acting Commissioner of Social Security (Defendant), by and through their respective counsel of record, that Defendant shall have an extension of time of forty-five (45) days to file her Opposition to Plaintiff's Opening Brief. The current due date is June 21, 2017. The new date will be August 7, 2017. An extension of time is needed because the attorney responsible for briefing this case had to take leave

-1-

in March and April due to surgery and is continuing to work through a backlog of cases. This request is made in good faith with no intention to unduly delay the proceedings.

This is the first extension of time requested by Defendant in the above-captioned matter. The parties further stipulate that the Court's Scheduling Order shall be modified accordingly, such that Plaintiff's reply shall be due

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 9, 2017 By: /s/ Kelsey Mackenzie Brown*

KELSEY MACKENZIE BROWN

Attorney for Plaintiff

(*As authorized by e-mail on June 9, 2017)

Date: June 9, 2017 PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

By: <u>/s/ Jennifer Lee Tarn</u>

JENNIFER LEE TARN

Special Assistant United States Attorney

Attorneys for Defendant

ORDER

Before the Court is the above "Unopposed Motion for First Extension of Time" (the "Motion"), in which Defendant requests, and Plaintiff stipulates to, "an extension of forty-five (45) days to file her Opposition to Plaintiff's Opening Brief." (Doc. 22.) The Court finds that an extension is warranted. However, the Court is not persuaded that an extension of forty-five days is appropriate.

1	Accordingly, the Court GRANTS the Motion in part, and ORDERS that:		
2	(1) Defendant may file her opposition to Plaintiff's opening brief by no later than		
3 4	July 21, 2017; and		
5	(2) Plaintiff may file her optional reply brief by no later than August 7, 2017.		
6			
7	The Court CAUTIONS the parties that it will not entertain any further requests for		
8	extensions in this matter.		
9			
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
12	Dated: June 13, 2017 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto		
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
14			
15			
16			
17 18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
2324			
25			

26