1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8		
9	ROBERT ASHLOCK,	1:16 -cv-00762-LJO-MJS (HC)
10	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
11	V.	(Doc. 10)
12	PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA,	
13	Respondent.	
14	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no	
15	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See, e.g., Anderson v	
16	Heinze, 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th	
17	Cir. 1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of	
18	counsel at any stage of the case if "the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c),	
19	Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the present case, the Court does not find that	
20	the interests of justice require the appointment of counsel at the present time.	
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's request for appointment of	
22	counsel is DENIED.	
23	IT IS SO ODDEDED	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated: June 22, 2016	1st Michael J. Seng
26		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		