1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ROBERT PALMER,	No. 1:16-cv-00787-SKO
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER RE: DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE
14	CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL	OF PLAINTIFF'S SUPERVISORY LIABILITY CLAIM (THIRD CLAIM FOR
15	OFFICER IOSEFA, et al.,  Defendants.	RELIEF)
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	At the pretrial conference held September 5, 2018, the parties stipulated on the record to the	
19	dismissal of Plaintiff's supervisory liability claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (Third Claim for Relief),	
20	with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii).	
21	In relevant part, Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) provides as follows: [A] plaintiff may dismiss an	
22	action without a court order by filing (ii) a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who	
23	have appeared. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)	). "The plaintiff may dismiss some or all of the
24	defendants, or some or all of his claims" through a Rule 41(a)(1) stipulation. Wilson v. City of San	
25	Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997). Such stipulation may be made orally in open court. See	
26	Carter v. Beverly Hills Sav. & Loan Asso., 884 F.2d 1186, 1191 (9th Cir. 1989); Eitel v. McCool,	
27	782 F.2d 1470, 1472-73 (9th Cir. 1986).	
28	//	
I.		

1	Because the parties orally stipulated in open court to the dismissal of Plaintiff's supervisory	
2	liability claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (Third Claim for Relief), with prejudice under Rule	
3	41(a)(1)(A)(ii), that claim has been DISMISSED. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A).	
4	This case shall remain OPEN pending resolution of Plaintiff's remaining claims against	
5	Defendant.	
6		
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
8	Dated: September 6, 2018 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto	
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>		
28		
20		