

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT PALMER,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL  
OFFICER IOSEFA,  
  
Defendant.

Case No. 1:16-cv-787-SKO  
  
**ORDER ON DEFENDANT’S  
MOTIONS IN LIMINE**  
  
(Docs. 73, 74)

\_\_\_\_\_ /

The Court conducted a hearing on Defendant’s Motions in Limine on October 3, 2018. Plaintiff Robert Palmer appeared telephonically through his counsel, Neil Gehlawat, Esq., and Defendant California Highway Patrol (“CHP”) Officer Iosefa (“Defendant”) appeared telephonically through his counsel Deputy Attorney General John C. Bridges, Esq.

As set forth on the record in open court, Defendant’s Motions in Limine (“MIL”) are ruled upon as follows:

1. Defendant’s MIL No. 1 to exclude evidence of the California Highway Patrol’s Mobile Video/Audio Recording Systems (MVARs) Policy is DENIED.
2. Defendant’s unopposed MIL No. 2 to exclude evidence of special damages paid by the State Compensation Insurance Fund is GRANTED.

///  
///  
///

1           These rulings shall be incorporated in the parties' pretrial submissions, the deadlines for  
2 which are set forth in the Court's Pretrial Order (Doc. 69).

3  
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: October 3, 2018

*/s/ Sheila K. Oberto*  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28