UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EMIEL A. KANDI,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Plaintiff,

v.

C. APKER, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:16-cv-00794-AWI-SAB-PC

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SCREENING

(ECF NO. 14)

On August 25, 2016, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting the Court to screen the complaint 12 filed June 8, 2016. Plaintiff is advised that the Court presently has a large number of cases 13 awaiting screening. This case is among those. Case management at this Court proceeds by the 14 order cases are received. Due to the caseload of the Court, and the Court's diligent handling of 15 each individual case, rulings and decisions often take time. Plaintiff's case will be screened in 16 due course and appropriate orders will issue. If the complaint proceeds to service, the Plaintiff 17 can review this Court's expedited trial procedures if he wishes to expedite his matter. However, 18 that process requires consent from all parties. Also, no adverse consequences will occur if any 19 party declines or withholds consent. Procedures will be mailed to all parties after an answer is 20filed. 21

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion to screen the complaint is DENIED.

24 25

26

27

28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 26, 2016

A. Kas

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1

_
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28