1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	VICKI YOUNG,	Case No. 1:16-cv-00822-LJO-JLT
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING REQUEST TO AMEND THE CASE SCHEDULE
13	vs.	WITHOUT PREJUDICE
14	SUN LIFE AND HEALTH INSURANCE COMPANY,	(Doc. 23)
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Counsel have stipulated to amend the case schedule based upon the defendant's expressed	
18	intent to file a motion for protective order to prevent the depositions of two employees of the	
19	defendant and a treating physician. (Doc. 23 at 2) However, because the defendant has not	
20	complied with the requirements of the scheduling order (Doc. 21 at 2-3), which is the precursor to	
21	filing a motion to compel, any consideration of amending the case schedule is not ripe. Thus, the	
22	Court ORDERS:	
23	1. The stipulation to amend the case schedule (Doc. 23) is DENIED WITHOUT	
24	PREJUDICE.	
25	IT IS SO ODDEDED	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: March 30, 2017	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		-