

1 XAVIER BECERRA, State Bar No. 118517
Attorney General of California
2 MICHELLE L. ANGUS, State Bar No. 210031
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 ROBERT M. PERKINS, III, State Bar No. 309192
Deputy Attorney General
4 1300 I Street, Suite 125
P.O. Box 944255
5 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
Telephone: (916) 210-6144
6 Fax: (916) 324-5205
E-mail: Robert.Perkins@doj.ca.gov
7 *Attorneys for Defendants Martinez, Wetenkamp,*
California Department of Corrections and
8 *Rehabilitation - General, Charkow-Ross, Peterson,*
Cartagena and Loyd

9
10 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 FRESNO DIVISION

13
14 **JOSEPH BECKER,**

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 **WARDEN SHERMAN, et al.,**

18 Defendants.

1:16-cv-0828-AWI-JDP (PC)

**JOINT STIPULATION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME FOR
DEFENDANTS TO FILE RESPONSES
TO PLAINTIFF'S DISCOVERY**

Judge: The Hon. Jeremy D. Peterson
Action Filed: June 15, 2016

19
20
21 On September 4, 2018, Plaintiff Joseph Becker served Defendants with Plaintiff's second
22 set of production of documents. Subsequently, on or about September 21, 2018, Plaintiff served
23 the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) with a third-party subpoena
24 duces tecum. Defense counsel has agreed to represent CDCR for the limited purposes of
25 responding to Plaintiff's third-party subpoena. On September 28, 2018, the parties met and
26 conferred about responses to the second set of requests for production of documents and the third-
27 party subpoena. As a result of the meet-and-confer, the parties have agreed to extend the time for
28

1 serving responses and objections to the request for production of documents, and any motion to
2 quash the third-party subpoena to October 29, 2018.

3 The parties have agreed to this stipulation in order to allow Defense Counsel to file
4 coordinated responses and objections to both the second set of requests for production of
5 documents and the third-party subpoena duces tecum. Without this extension, CDCR would have
6 until October 5, 2018, to object to the subpoena duces tecum. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(d)(2)(B)
7 (requiring objections to subpoenas to be served within fourteen days of service). Under the
8 proposed extension, both CDCR and Defendants would have until October 29, 2018, to serve
9 responses and objections on Plaintiff, and to file a motion to quash the third-party subpoena.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Dated: October 1, 2018

LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP
Elizabeth L. Deeley
Katherine M. Larkin-Wong
Christopher J. Bower
Cameron J. Clark
David R. Derrick

2

3

4

5

By: /s/ Katherine M. Larkin-Wong
Katherine M. Larkin-Wong
*Pro Bono Attorneys for Plaintiff Joseph
Becker*

6

7 Dated: October 1, 2018

XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
MICHELLE L. ANGUS
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

8

9

10

By: /s/ Robert M. Perkins, III
ROBERT M. PERKINS, III
Deputy Attorney General
*Attorneys for Defendants Martinez,
Wetenkamp, California Department of
Corrections and Rehabilitation - General,
Charkow-Ross, Peterson, Cartagena, and
Loyd*

11

12

13

14

IT IS SO ORDERED.

15

16

Dated: October 4, 2018



17

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

18

19

20

SA2017304651
33586508.docx

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28