1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DANIEL RODRIGUEZ,) Case No.: 1:16-cv-00926 DAD JLT
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER CLOSING CASE
13	v.) (Doc. 14)
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,))
15	Defendant.))
16		<u> </u>
17	The parties have stipulated to dismiss the case with each side bearing their own costs and fees.	
18	(Doc. 14) The stipulation relies upon Fed.R.Civ.P. 41, which permits the plaintiff to dismiss an action	
19	without a court order "by filing a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared."	
20	Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii). Because all parties who have appeared in the action signed the	
21	stipulation (Doc. 79), it "automatically terminate[d] the action." Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d	
22	688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997). Accordingly, the C	Elerk of Court is DIRECTED to close this action.
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated: September 13, 2017	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
26		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
28		