

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MIRIAM MICHELLE MENDOZA,	)	1: 16-CV-00967 - LJO - JLT
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	SCHEDULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)
	)	
v.	)	Pleading Amendment Deadline: 1/9/2017
	)	
GENERAL MOTORS LLC, et al.,	)	Discovery Deadlines:
	)	Initial Disclosures: 10/13/2016
Defendants.	)	Non-Expert: 10/2/2017
	)	Expert: 12/8/2017
	)	Mid-Discovery Status Conference:
	)	7/10/2017 at 8:30 a.m.
	)	
	)	Non-Dispositive Motion Deadlines:
	)	Filing: 12/22/2017
	)	Hearing: 1/19/2018
	)	
	)	Dispositive Motion Deadlines:
	)	Filing: 2/2/2018
	)	Hearing: 3/20/2018
	)	
	)	Settlement Conference:
	)	4/6/2017 9:30 a.m.
	)	510 19th Street, Bakersfield, CA
	)	
	)	Pre-Trial Conference:
	)	5/15/2018 at 8:30 a.m.
	)	Courtroom 4
	)	
	)	Trial: 7/10/2018 at 8:30 a.m.
	)	Courtroom 4
	)	Jury trial: 15-20 days

1 **I. Date of Scheduling Conference**

2 October 6, 2016.

3 **II. Appearances of Counsel**

4 Thomas Scarvie appeared on behalf of Plaintiff.

5 Ashley Fickel and Clay Cosse appeared on behalf of Defendants.

6 **III. Magistrate Judge Consent:**

7 **Notice of Congested Docket and Court Policy of Trailing**

8 Due to the District Judges' heavy caseload, the newly adopted policy of the Fresno Division of  
9 the Eastern District is to trail all civil cases. The parties are hereby notified that for a trial date set  
10 before a District Judge, the parties will trail indefinitely behind any higher priority criminal or older  
11 civil case set on the same date until a courtroom becomes available. The trial date will not be reset to a  
12 continued date.

13 The Magistrate Judges' availability is far more realistic and accommodating to parties than that  
14 of the U.S. District Judges who carry the heaviest caseloads in the nation and who must prioritize  
15 criminal and older civil cases over more recently filed civil cases. A United States Magistrate Judge  
16 may conduct trials, including entry of final judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Federal Rule of  
17 Civil Procedure 73, and Local Rule 305. Any appeal from a judgment entered by a United States  
18 Magistrate Judge is taken directly to the United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit.

19 The Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California, whenever possible, is utilizing United  
20 States Article III District Court Judges from throughout the nation as Visiting Judges. Pursuant to the  
21 Local Rules, Appendix A, such reassignments will be random, and the parties will receive no advance  
22 notice before their case is reassigned to an Article III District Court Judge from outside of the Eastern  
23 District of California.

24 Plaintiff has indicated her willingness to consent to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction. (Doc. 10 at 6)  
25 Defendants are directed to consider consenting to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction to conduct all further  
26 proceedings, including trial. **Within 10 days** of the date of this order, counsel **SHALL** file a  
27 consent/decline form (provided by the Court at the inception of this case) indicating whether they will  
28 consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge.

1 **IV. Pleading Amendment Deadline**

2 Any requested pleading amendments are ordered to be filed, either through a stipulation or  
3 motion to amend, no later than **January 9, 2017**.

4 **V. Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date**

5 The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)  
6 on or before **October 13, 2016**.

7 The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts on or before  
8 **October 2, 2017**, and all discovery pertaining to experts on or before **December 8, 2017**.

9 The plaintiff **SHALL** disclose all expert witnesses in writing on or before **September 1, 2017**,  
10 and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before **October 30, 2017**. The defendant **SHALL** disclose all  
11 expert witnesses in writing on or before **October 2, 2017**<sup>1</sup>. The written designation of retained and  
12 non-retained experts shall **be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B), and (C) and**  
13 **shall include all information required thereunder**. Failure to designate experts in compliance with  
14 this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered through such  
15 experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

16 The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts  
17 and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions  
18 included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may  
19 include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

20 The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement  
21 disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

22 A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for **July 10, 2017** at 8:30 a.m. before the  
23 Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, U.S. Magistrate Judge, located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield,  
24 California. Counsel **SHALL** file a joint mid-discovery status conference report one week before the  
25 conference. Counsel also **SHALL** lodge the status report via e-mail to [JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov](mailto:JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov).  
26 The joint statement **SHALL** outline the discovery counsel have completed and that which needs to be

27 \_\_\_\_\_  
28 <sup>1</sup> In the event an expert will offer opinions related to an independent medical or mental health evaluation, the  
examination **SHALL** occur sufficiently in advance of the disclosure deadline so the expert's report fully details the expert's  
opinions in this regard.

1 completed as well as any impediments to completing the discovery within the deadlines set forth in this  
2 order. Counsel may appear via CourtCall, providing a written notice of the intent to appear  
3 telephonically is provided to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Deputy Clerk no later than five court  
4 days before the noticed hearing date.

5 **VI. Pre-Trial Motion Schedule**

6 All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no later  
7 than **December 22, 2017**, and heard on or before **January 19, 2018**. Non-dispositive motions are  
8 heard before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge at the United States  
9 Courthouse in Bakersfield, California.

10 **No motion to amend or stipulation to amend the case schedule will be entertained unless it**  
11 **is filed at least one week before the first deadline the parties wish to extend.** Likewise, no written  
12 discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned Magistrate Judge. A party  
13 with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good faith effort to resolve by  
14 agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the moving party promptly  
15 shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate Judge. It shall be the  
16 obligation of the moving party to arrange and originate the conference call to the court. To schedule  
17 this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, Susan Hall, at  
18 (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. **Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251**  
19 **with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped**  
20 **from the Court's calendar.**

21 All dispositive pre-trial motions shall be filed no later than **February 2, 2018**, and heard no  
22 later than **March 20, 2018**, in Courtroom 4 at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Lawrence J. O'Neill,  
23 United States District Court Judge. In scheduling such motions, **counsel shall comply with Fed. R.**  
24 **Civ. P. 56 and Local Rules 230 and 260.**

25 **VII. Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication**

26 **At least 21 days before** filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary  
27 adjudication, the parties are **ORDERED** to meet, in person or by telephone, to confer about the issues  
28 to be raised in the motion.

1 The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a  
2 question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole  
3 or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the  
4 issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the  
5 expense of briefing a motion; and 6) to develop a joint statement of undisputed facts.

6 The moving party **SHALL** initiate the meeting and **SHALL** provide a complete, proposed  
7 statement of undisputed facts **at least five days before** the conference. The finalized joint statement of  
8 undisputed facts **SHALL** include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be  
9 deemed true. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file the joint  
10 statement of undisputed facts.

11 In the notice of motion the moving party **SHALL** certify that the parties have met and conferred  
12 as ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer. **Failure to**  
13 **comply may result in the motion being stricken.**

14 **VIII. Pre-Trial Conference Date**

15 **May 15, 2018**, at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 4 before Judge O'Neill.

16 The parties are ordered to file a **Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2).**  
17 The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format,  
18 directly to Judge O'Neill's chambers, by email at LJOorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

19 Counsels' attention is directed to **Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules** of Practice for the  
20 Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference.  
21 The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the  
22 Local Rules the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be used by the  
23 Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.

24 **IX. Trial Date**

25 **July 10, 2018**, at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 4 before the Honorable Lawrence J. O'Neill, United  
26 States District Court Judge.

27 A. This is a jury trial.

28 B. Counsels' Estimate of Trial Time: 15-20 days.

1 C. Counsels' attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of  
2 California, Rule 285.

3 **X. Settlement Conference**

4 A Settlement Conference is scheduled for **April 6, 2017**, at 9:30 a.m. at 510 19<sup>th</sup> Street,  
5 Bakersfield, California. Despite the provisions of Local Rule 270(b), the settlement conference will be  
6 conducted by Magistrate Judge Thurston. **If any party prefers that the settlement conference be**  
7 **conducted by a judicial officer not already assigned to this case, that party is directed to notify**  
8 **the Court no later than 60 days in advance of the scheduled settlement conference** to allow  
9 sufficient time for another judicial officer to be assigned to handle the conference.

10 Unless otherwise permitted in advance by the Court, **the attorneys who will try the case shall**  
11 **appear** at the Settlement Conference **with the parties** and the person or persons having **full authority**  
12 to negotiate and settle the case **on any terms**<sup>2</sup> at the conference. Consideration of settlement is a  
13 serious matter that requires preparation prior to the settlement conference. Set forth below are the  
14 procedures the Court will employ, absent good cause, in conducting the conference.

15 **At least 21 days before** the settlement conference, Plaintiff **SHALL** submit to Defendant via  
16 fax or e-mail, a written itemization of damages and a meaningful<sup>3</sup> settlement demand which includes a  
17 brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate. Thereafter, **no later than 14 days before** the  
18 settlement conference, Defendant **SHALL** respond, via fax or e-mail, with an acceptance of the offer or  
19 with a meaningful counteroffer, which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is  
20 appropriate.

21 If settlement is not achieved, each party **SHALL** attach copies of their settlement offers to their  
22 Confidential Settlement Conference Statement, as described below. Copies of these documents shall  
23 not be filed on the court docket.

---

25 <sup>2</sup> Insurance carriers, business organizations, and governmental bodies or agencies whose settlement agreements  
26 are subject to approval by legislative bodies, executive committees, boards of directors or the like shall be represented by a  
27 person or persons who occupy high executive positions in the party organization and who will be directly involved in the  
28 process of approval of any settlement offers or agreements. To the extent possible the representative shall have the  
authority, if he or she deems it appropriate, to settle the action on terms consistent with the opposing party's most recent  
demand.

<sup>3</sup> "Meaningful" means that the offer is reasonably calculated to settle the case on terms acceptable to the offering  
party. "Meaningful" does not include an offer which the offering party knows will not be acceptable to the other party.



1 **XIV. Effect of this Order**

2 The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most  
3 suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the  
4 parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered  
5 to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by  
6 subsequent status conference.

7 **The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a**  
8 **showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations**  
9 **extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by**  
10 **affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause**  
11 **for granting the relief requested.**

12 Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

13  
14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 Dated: October 7, 2016

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE