1	Stephanie L. Chilton (SBN 150473) Thomas M. Klein Admitted ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )		
2	BOWMAN AND BROOKE LLP 2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 1600		
3	Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Tel: (602) 643-2300		
4	Fax: (602) 248-0947 stephanie.chilton@bowmanandbrooke.com		
5	thomas.klein@bowmanandbrooke.com		
6	Attorneys for Defendant General Motors LLO	C	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - FRESNO DIVISION		
9	Miriam Michelle Mendoza,	Case No. 1:16-cv-00967-LJO-JLT	
10	Plaintiff,	[ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER RE STIPULATION TO WITHDRAW	
11	VS.	PLAINTIFF'S "MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER	
12	General Motors LLC, General Motors Corporation, Chevrolet Division of General	GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR ADDITIONAL	
13	Motors, Motors Liquidation Company, and Does 1 to 15,	INSPECTION OF THE SUBJECT VEHICLE"	
14	Defendants.	(Doc. 91)	
15		(= 0007 =)	
16	Having considered the parties' Stip	ulation to Withdraw Plaintiff's Motion for	
17	Reconsideration of Order Granting Defendant's Motion for Additional Inspection of the		
18	$\mathcal{C}$	nt s Monon for Additional hispection of the	
	Subject Vehicle;	in s wouldn't in Additional hispection of the	
19	Subject Vehicle;	ERS the parties' Stipulation is hereby granted	
20	Subject Vehicle;	•	
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	Subject Vehicle;  Good cause appearing, the Court ORD as follows:	•	
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>	Subject Vehicle; Good cause appearing, the Court ORD as follows:  (1) Subject to the terms set forth b	ERS the parties' Stipulation is hereby granted	
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	Subject Vehicle; Good cause appearing, the Court ORD as follows:  (1) Subject to the terms set forth b	ERS the parties' Stipulation is hereby granted elow, plaintiff's "Motion for Reconsideration additional Inspection of the Subject Vehicle"	
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	Subject Vehicle; Good cause appearing, the Court ORD as follows:  (1) Subject to the terms set forth b of Order Granting Defendant's Motion for A (Doc. 84) filed on or about April 9, 2018 is de	ERS the parties' Stipulation is hereby granted elow, plaintiff's "Motion for Reconsideration additional Inspection of the Subject Vehicle"	
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	Subject Vehicle; Good cause appearing, the Court ORD as follows:  (1) Subject to the terms set forth b of Order Granting Defendant's Motion for A (Doc. 84) filed on or about April 9, 2018 is de (2) Pursuant to this Court's April	DERS the parties' Stipulation is hereby granted elow, plaintiff's "Motion for Reconsideration additional Inspection of the Subject Vehicle" emed withdrawn.	
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	Subject Vehicle; Good cause appearing, the Court ORD as follows:  (1) Subject to the terms set forth b of Order Granting Defendant's Motion for A (Doc. 84) filed on or about April 9, 2018 is de  (2) Pursuant to this Court's April supplemental reports, limited to detailing the	PERS the parties' Stipulation is hereby granted elow, plaintiff's "Motion for Reconsideration Additional Inspection of the Subject Vehicle" emed withdrawn.  1 3, 2018 Order, the parties will exchange	
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	Subject Vehicle; Good cause appearing, the Court ORD as follows:  (1) Subject to the terms set forth b of Order Granting Defendant's Motion for A (Doc. 84) filed on or about April 9, 2018 is de (2) Pursuant to this Court's April supplemental reports, limited to detailing the from the April 24, 2018 re-inspection, on or be	PERS the parties' Stipulation is hereby granted elow, plaintiff's "Motion for Reconsideration additional Inspection of the Subject Vehicle" emed withdrawn.  1 3, 2018 Order, the parties will exchange additional opinions or information gathered	

19411721v1 PAGE 1

28

1	report. To the extent some of the materials are too large to send via email, they will be	
2	provided on an electronic medium, such as a thumb drive, and will be sent no later than	
3	May 8, 2018 for next-day delivery.	
4	(3) General Motors LLC (GM LLC) agrees that based on the work its experts did	
5	on April 24, 2018, and based on the opinions disclosed to date by plaintiff's experts,	
6	including rebuttal opinions, that it is not necessary to do anything destructive to the vehicle	
7	(such as removing part or all of the third row seat).	
8	(4) The hearing presently scheduled for May 7, 2018 at 9:30 a.m. pertaining to	
9	plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider is vacated.	
10	III II IO OO ODDEDED	
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
12	Dated: April 27, 2018 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

19411721v1 PAGE 2

28