1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	WENDY CENIS, an individual,	Case No.: 1:17-CV-00863-DAD-JLT
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING IN PART STIPULATION TO CONTINUE EXPERT
13	v.	WITNESS RELATED DISCOVERY
	WINCO HOLDINGS, INC., a corporation; BRANDON RODGERS, an individual; and	(Doc. 40)
15	DOES 1 through 25, inclusive.	
16 17	Defendants.	
17_ 18		
10		
20		
20 21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	¹ The proposed schedule anticipates that there will be	no disputes related to experts given that the do not seek to

 ²⁷ ¹ The proposed schedule anticipates that there will be no disputes related to experts given that the do not seek to amend this deadline and, by the time experts are disclosed, the deadline for filing discovery motions will be long-since expired (Doc. 25 at 3). Though the Court questions the wisdom of this approach, this is the option of the parties.

1	later than March 16, 2018. Rebuttal experts SHALL be disclosed three weeks thereafter but no	
2	later than April 6, 2018. Expert discovery SHALL be completed four weeks after the deadline for	
3	disclosure of rebuttal experts but no later than May 4, 2018.	
4	2. <u>No other amendments to the case schedule are authorized.</u>	
5		
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	Dated: October 19, 2017 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20 21		
21 22		
22		
23 24		
2 4 25		
23 26		
20		
28		