

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
9

10 JORGE CORENA,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 RODRIGUEZ, et al.,

14 Defendants.  
15

Case No. 1:16-cv-01025-LJO-EPG (PC)

ORDER FOLLOWING HEARING AND  
STATUS CONFERENCE

(ECF NOS. 39 & 43)

16 Jorge Corena (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*  
17 with this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On August 10, 2018, the Court  
18 held a hearing and status conference in this case. (ECF No. 47). Plaintiff appeared  
19 telephonically on his own behalf. Counsel Mark Ahn appeared telephonically on behalf of  
20 Defendant Rodriguez.

21 For the reasons stated on the record, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 22 1. Paragraphs 2 and 4 in the Court’s Order Following Initial Scheduling  
23 Conference (ECF No. 37) is modified as follows: Defendant Rodriguez does not  
24 need to provide Plaintiff with unredacted versions of the medical ledger or the  
25 log book to inspect.  
26 2. Plaintiff’s motion to compel (ECF No. 39) is DENIED.  
27 3. Plaintiff has until September 24, 2018, to produce to defendant Rodriguez all  
28

1 documents in his possession, custody, or control, related to exhaustion of  
2 administrative remedies of the claims in this case. Plaintiff does not need to  
3 provide documents he already provided, or documents provided to him by  
4 defendant Rodriguez.

- 5 4. The exhaustion motion filing deadline is extended to October 26, 2018.
- 6 5. Defendant Rodriguez's motion for a protective order is granted only as to  
7 photographs of prison personnel,<sup>1</sup> only as follows: 1) the photographs are to be  
8 retained by the prison's litigation coordinator at Plaintiff's institution, and  
9 Plaintiff may review the documents through his correctional counselor; 2) the  
10 photographs are for Plaintiff's eyes only, and he shall not disseminate them; and  
11 3) the photographs are to be used solely in conjunction with this case, and no  
12 copies shall be made except for submission to the Court or parties.
- 13 6. Within fourteen days from the date of service of this order, Defendant  
14 Rodriguez shall produce to Plaintiff the redacted version of the investigative  
15 report that he provided to the Court for *in camera* review. As stated on the  
16 record, the Court finds that defendant Rodriguez properly redacted the inmate's  
17 name and statement under the official information privilege.
- 18 7. Plaintiff may write to defense counsel no later than September 24, 2018, stating  
19 the names of the individuals he believes are defendant Rodriguez's co-  
20 defendants, and asking for production of photographs of those individuals. No  
21 later than October 1, 2018, defense counsel shall either make the photographs  
22 available to Plaintiff, or inform Plaintiff that no such photograph(s) exist or that  
23 there were no such person(s) working at California Correctional Institute during  
24 the relevant period.
- 25 8. The Doe Defendant Identification Deadline is extended to October 5, 2018.
- 26 9. Except as stated above, and given the prior orders in this case, Plaintiff may not

---

27  
28 <sup>1</sup> Nothing in this order prevents defendant Rodriguez from redacting sensitive personal information, such as addresses and social security numbers, in documents produced in response to Plaintiff's discovery request(s).

1 seek further discovery regarding the Doe Defendants' identities, and defendant  
2 Rodriguez may object to discovery requests on this ground.

3  
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: September 17, 2018

6 /s/ Eric P. Gray  
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28