1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 JOSE LUIS CAMARENA, JR., 1:16-cv-01049-JLT (HC) 12 Petitioner. ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR 13 THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF v. **CALIFORNIA** 14 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, 15 Respondent. 16 17 The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity 18 jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all 19 defendants reside in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events 20 or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the 21 subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if 22 there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). In this case, the petitioner is challenging a conviction from Santa Clara County, which is 23 24

In this case, the petitioner is challenging a conviction from Santa Clara County, which is in the Northern District of California. Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. In the interest of justice, a federal court may transfer a case filed in the wrong district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

25

26

27

28

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** that this matter is transferred to the United States

1	District Court for the Northern District of California.	
2		
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
4	Dated: <u>July 25, 2016</u>	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
5		MADISTRATE TODGE
6		
7	,	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17	,	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	5	
27	,	
28		
	2	