1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 MICHAEL JACOBSEN, Case No. 1:16-cv-01050-LJO-JDP 10 Plaintiff. ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO FILE OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION 11 FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT v. 12 OFFICER MALDINADO, et al., TWENTY-ONE-DAY DEADLINE 13 Defendants. 14 15 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in this civil rights action brought 16 under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On October 26, 2018, defendant Gonzalez moved for summary 17 judgment. ECF No. 90. Plaintiff had twenty-one (21) days to oppose defendant's motion 18 under Local Rule 230(1), but he failed to do so. See ECF No. 91. 19 Local Rule 230(1) provides that the failure to oppose a motion "may be deemed a 20 waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion and may result in the imposition of 21 sanctions." As a sanction, the court may treat the facts asserted by defendant as "undisputed 22 for purposes of the motion." Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(e)(2). The court may also dismiss the case for 23 plaintiff's failure to prosecute or failure to comply with a court order. 24 The court will allow plaintiff one more opportunity to oppose the motion for summary 25 judgment. Plaintiff must file a response to defendant's motion for summary judgment within 26 twenty-one (21) days of this order and explain the reason for his delay. If plaintiff fails to do 27 so, the court will deem defendant's motion unopposed, which could result in granting the

28

| 1 | motion on the merits. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(e)(3). Failure to comply with this order may also |
|----|--|
| 2 | result in dismissal of this action. |
| 3 | |
| 4 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 5 | N -04- |
| 6 | Dated: December 12, 2018 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |