

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DENA M. MILLS, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
BAYER CORP., et al.,
Defendants.

No. 1:16-cv-01075-DAD-EPG

ORDER DECLINING TO RELATE CASES

MARGIE ZAMORA, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
BAYER CORP., et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:17-cv-00587-WBS-AC

On March 23, 2017 and March 25, 2017, defendants filed notices of related cases in the two above-captioned actions, listing a number of cases filed in the Eastern District of California that are purportedly related to *Dena M. Mills, et al. v. Bayer Corp., et al.*, 1:16-cv-01075-DAD-EPG. Case Number 1:16-cv-01075-DAD-EPG is the lowest numbered case among these actions,

1 and was previously assigned to the undersigned. Local Rule 123 permits the judge to whom the
2 lowest numbered case is assigned to reassign all higher numbered related actions to himself or
3 herself, if they determine reassignment is “likely to effect a savings of judicial effort or other
4 economies.” L.R. 123(c). Because Case Number 1:16-cv-01075-DAD-EPG was voluntarily
5 dismissed on October 16, 2016, and no hearings of any kind were held before the court prior to
6 that voluntary dismissal, the undersigned concludes no judicial effort will be saved by relating
7 and re-assigning the subsequently filed cases. Therefore, the court declines to issue an order
8 relating these cases.

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated: April 17, 2017

11 
12 _____
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28