1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	LINITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
8 9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9 10		
10	ADRIAN ALEXANDER WOODARD,) Case No.: 1:16-cv-01089-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,) ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO
12	V.	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT
14	DR. WANG,) [ECF No. 17]
15	Defendant.)
16)
17	Plaintiff Adrian Alexander Woodard is a state prisoner appearing pro se in this civil rights	
18	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The parties have consented to the jurisdiction of the United States	
19	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). (ECF Nos. 6, 12.)	
20	On August 29, 2017, Plaintiff filed an unsigned reply to Defendant's answer to the complaint.	
21	(ECF No. 17.)	
22	Both the Federal Rules of Civil Procee	dure and this Court's Local Rules require that all filed
23	pleadings, motions and papers be signed by at least one attorney of record or by the party personally if	
24	the party is unrepresented. Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(a); Local Rule 131(b). As noted above, Plaintiff's reply	
25	to Defendant's answer lacks any signature. (ECF No. 17, at p. 8.) Therefore, it must be stricken.	
26	Furthermore, the Court has not ordered any reply to Defendant's answer in this case. Federal	
27	Rule of Civil Procedure 7 lists all pleadings that are permitted, including "if the court orders one, a	
28	reply to an answer." Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(a)(7) (er	mphasis added). No request to file a reply to the answer
		1

1	was sought or granted in this case, and therefore Plaintiff's reply must be stricken for this reason as	
2	well. ¹	
3	Accordingly, Plaintiff's unsigned reply to Defendants answer to the complaint, filed on August	
4	29, 2017 (ECF No. 17) is HEREBY STRICKEN from the record.	
5		
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	Dated: August 31, 2017	
8	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26	¹ A plaintiff rarely needs to file any reply to an answer, "because the allegations in pleadings not requiring a	
27	response—e.g., the answer—are already automatically deemed denied or avoided under Rule 8(b)(6)." Fort Indep. Indian Cmty. v. California, No. CIV.S-08-432-LKK-KJM, 2008 WL 6579737, at *1 (E.D. Cal. June 24,	
28	2008).	