UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANGEE BURRELL,	Case No. 1:16-cv-01118-AWI-SAB (PC)
Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING IN PART DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY
v. RUSLAN LOZOVOY, et al.,	[ECF No. 46]
Defendants.	

Plaintiff Angee Burrell is appearing *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

On January 9, 2019, Defendants Sao filed a partial motion for summary judgment for the failure to exhaust available administrative remedies. 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a). (ECF No. 44.) Plaintiff filed a statement of non-opposition to that motion on January 28, 2019. (ECF No. 45.) That motion remains pending.

Currently before the Court is Defendants motion to stay this case, including the parties' discovery obligations, the dispositive motion deadline, and the ruling on Defendant Sao's motion for summary judgment. (ECF No. 46.) Defendants request a discovery stay of 120 days, and that the deadlines of the current discovery and scheduling order be modified to allow for the parties' obligations to be completed following the stay. In support, defense counsel declares that the parties

///

met and conferred in good faith by telephone, and have agreed to engage in settlement negotiations, including a potential second settlement conference in this case.¹ (ECF No. 46-2.) The parties intend to begin discussions right away by phone and mail, and defense counsel has begun contacting court staff for a potential settlement conference date. (Id.)

The Court finds good cause to grant the requested stay and modification of the discovery and scheduling order, in part. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4). Judicial economy and the fair and efficient adjudication of this matter is well-served by limiting the burdens of discovery and extending the deadlines for the parties' other obligations while the parties undergo settlement negotiations in good faith. Thus, the Court shall stay discovery for 120 days to allow the parties to attempt to resolve this matter, and shall vacate the currently pending deadlines. The Court shall issue an amended discovery and scheduling order after 120 days, or sooner if the parties inform the Court in writing that settlement negotiations have broken down and the matter is ready to resume.

However, the Court does not find that a just, speedy resolution of this matter is benefitted by any stay of the ruling on the motion for summary judgment filed by Defendant Sao on January 9, 2019. Rather, that motion appears ripe for a ruling, which will resolve one of the pending claims in this case. As a result, the Court anticipates that a ruling will be issued on that matter in due course.

For these reasons, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Defendants' motion to stay, filed on January 29, 2019 (Doc. No. 46) is granted in part;
- 2. Discovery is stayed in this matter for 120 days;
- 3. The deadline to amend pleadings, discovery deadline, and dispositive motion deadline in the Court's October 9, 2018 discovery and scheduling order, are vacated;
- 4. The Court will issue an order lifting the stay of discovery and amending the above deadlines after 120 days from the date of this order, unless the parties notify the Court in writing sooner that the matter is ready to proceed; and

¹ An initial settlement conference was held in this case on October 3, 2018, before the Honorable Barbara A. McAuliffe, at which this case did not settle. (ECF No. 39.)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27

28

5. Defendants' request that a ruling on Defendant Sao's partial motion for summary judgment, filed on January 9, 2019 (Doc. No. 44) be stayed, is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **January 30, 2019**

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE