1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	ANTHONY SILVA,	1:16-cv-01131-AWI-SKO (PC)
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THE ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED
11	v.	FOR PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE COURT'S ORDER
12	WORTH, et al.,	(Doc. 6)
13	Defendants.	30-DAY DEADLINE
14		
15	Plaintiff, Anthony Silva, is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action	
16	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On August 3, 2016, Plaintiff filed an application to proceed in	
17	forma pauperis which was not on the correct form. (Doc. 2.) On September 2, 2016, an order	
18	issued providing Plaintiff with the correct form and directing him to complete and submit the	
19	correct form within forty-five (45) days. (Doc. 6.) More than forty-five days have lapsed without	
20	Plaintiff having filed the correct form.	
21	The Local Rules, corresponding with Fed. R. Civ. P. 11, provide, "[f]ailure of counsel or	
22	of a party to comply with any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the	
23	Court of any and all sanctions within the inherent power of the Court." Local Rule 110.	
24	"District courts have inherent power to control their dockets," and in exercising that power, a	
25	court may impose sanctions, including dismissal of an action. Thompson v. Housing Authority of	
26	Los Angeles, 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action with prejudice,	
27	based on a party's failure to prosecute an action or failure to obey a court order, or failure to	
28	comply with local rules. See, e.g. Ferdik v. E	Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992)

1	(dismissal for failure to comply with an order requiring amendment of complaint); Malone v. U.S.	
2	Postal Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987) (dismissal for failure to comply with a court	
3	order); Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (dismissal for failure to	
4	prosecute and to comply with local rules).	
5	Accordingly, Plaintiff is ORDERED to show cause within thirty (30) days of the date of	
6	service of this Order why the action should not be dismissed for his failure comply with the	
7	Court's order and for his failure to prosecute this action. Alternatively within that same time,	
8	Plaintiff may either file an application to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> on the correct form, or pay	
9	the \$400.00 filing fee for this action.	
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
11		
12	Dated: December 2, 2016 s Sheila K. Oberto	
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	