

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEVE FEGAN,

 Petitioner,

 v.

ARNOLD FRAUHEIM AND ERIC
ARNOLD,

 Respondents.

No. 1:16-cv-01142-SKO HC

**ORDER DENYING MOTION
TO RETAIN DOCUMENTS**

(Doc. 9)

On August 4, 2016, Petitioner Steve Fegan filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, seeking relief from his original conviction and from the decision of the California Parole Board.¹ On August 30, 2016, the Court dismissed the petition for lack of jurisdiction over either claim. Claiming poverty, Petitioner now moves the Court “to retain the original documents.” The context of the motion indicates that Petitioner seeks to have the Court return his original documents so that he need not make copies.

As set forth in the Clerk’s letter confirming the filing of the petition and providing the case number (Doc. 3), the Court does not provide copies of documents to parties. “Copies of documents may be obtained from Attorney’s Diversified Services (ADS) by writing to them at

¹ Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1), Petitioner consented, in writing, to the jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge to conduct all further proceedings in this case, including the entry of final judgment.

1 1424 21st Street, Sacramento, CA 95814, or phoning 916-441-4396. The court will provide
2 copies of docket sheets at \$0.50 per page. **Note: In Forma Pauperis status does not include the**
3 **cost of copies.**” Doc. 3 (emphasis original).

4 In any event, no purpose would be served by returning a copy of the petition to Petitioner.
5 The petition consisted of a seven-page form petition and a copy of the order authorizing
6 withdrawal of the requisite postage from Petitioner’s trust account. The petition was dismissed
7 for lack of federal jurisdiction over either claim. Petitioner can neither refile the petition nor
8 reuse a copy of the petition in any other way.

9
10 The motion to retain documents filed in this case is hereby DENIED.

11
12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13
14 Dated: September 20, 2016

15 */s/ Sheila K. Olerto*
16 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28