1		
2		
2		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DOE PUBLIUS,	No. 1:16-cv-01152-LJO-SKO
12	Petitioner,	ORDER APPROVING PARTIES'
13	v.	STIPULATION TO AMENDMENT NUNC PRO TUNC
14	DIANE F. BOYER-VINE, in her official capacity as Legislative Counsel of	
15	California,	
16	Respondent.	(Doc. 11)
17		
18	On October 31, 2016, Plaintiff, Doe Publius, and Defendant, Diane F. Boyer-Vine,	
19	Legislative Counsel of California, stipulated to Plaintiff's amendment of the complaint in a form	
20	attached to the stipulation. (The amended complaint was filed separately as Document 12.)	
21	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(2) authorizes amendment by consent of the opposing party.	
22	The Local Rules of the Eastern District of California, however, provide that "[s]tipulations are not	
23	effective unless approved by the Court." Loc. R. 143(b). Pursuant to Local Rule 137, the parties	
24	were required to submit the stipulation to the Court prepared for the Court's endorsement of the	
25	stipulation. Loc. R. 143(b). Because the parties did not do so, the amendment was not effective	
26	when filed.	
27	///	
28		
		1

1	The parties' procedural error has come to the attention of the Court in the course of its	
2	preparation for the imminent scheduling conference. Having reviewed the first amended	
3	complaint (Doc. 12), the Court has determined that, had the stipulation been submitted with the	
4	required endorsement, the Court would have ordered it effective.	
5	Accordingly, the parties' stipulation to Plaintiff's amended complaint is hereby	
6	ORDERED effective nunc pro tunc.	
7		
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9	Dated: December 2, 2016 [s] Sheila K. Oberto	
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	