

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SHANNON RILEY,
Plaintiff,
v.
TALLERICO, et al.,
Defendants.

1:16-cv-01189-EPG (PC)
ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF’S MOTION
TO EXPEDITE
(ECF NO. 15)

Shannon Riley (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On August 12, 2016, Plaintiff filed his first amended complaint. (ECF No. 5). On that same day, Plaintiff filed a request for judicial notice. (ECF No. 6). Because it was unclear whether Plaintiff was attempting to amend his complaint via the request for judicial notice, on November 15, 2016, the Court ordered Plaintiff to file a second amended complaint or notify the Court that he wishes to proceed on the first amended complaint. (ECF No. 14). On November 21, 2016, Plaintiff filed a motion to expedite. (ECF No. 15). Plaintiff seems to be asking the Court to expedite the screening of his case.

Plaintiff’s motion to expedite will be denied. First, the Court is currently waiting on Plaintiff to either file a second amended complaint or notify the Court that he wishes to proceed

1 on the first amended complaint. Second, as laid out in the First Informational Order in
2 Prisoner/Civil Detainee Civil Rights Cases (ECF No. 4), which was sent to Plaintiff on August
3 11, 2016, the Court has an extremely large number of *pro se* civil rights cases pending before it,
4 and is acting on those cases as quickly and efficiently as it can with the court's resources.

5 Accordingly, it is ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion to expedite is DENIED.

6
7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 Dated: November 22, 2016

9 /s/ Eric P. Groj
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28