

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SHANNON RILEY,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
TALLERICO and YERRY,  
Defendants.

Case No. 1:16-cv-01189-AWI-EPG (PC)  
  
ORDER SETTING SETTLEMENT  
CONFERENCE

Shannon Riley (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* with this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The Court has determined that this case will benefit from a settlement conference. Therefore, this case will be referred to Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone to conduct a settlement conference at the U. S. District Court, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California, 93721, in Courtroom #9 on October 2, 2018, at 11:00 a.m.

The Court will issue the necessary transportation writ in due course.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. This case is set for a settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone on October 2, 2018, at 11:00 a.m., at the U. S. District Court, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California, 93721, in Courtroom #9.
2. A representative with full and unlimited authority to negotiate and enter into a binding

1 settlement shall attend in person.<sup>1</sup>

- 2 3. Those in attendance must be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses, and damages at  
3 issue in this case. The failure of any counsel, party, or authorized person subject to  
4 this order to appear in person may result in the imposition of sanctions. In addition,  
5 the conference will not proceed and will be reset to another date.
- 6 4. Each party shall provide a confidential settlement statement to the following email  
7 address: saborders@caed.uscourts.gov. Plaintiff shall mail his confidential settlement  
8 statement to U.S. District Court, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California, 93721,  
9 “Attention: Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone.” The envelope shall be marked  
10 “Confidential Settlement Statement.” Settlement statements shall arrive no later than  
11 September 25, 2018. Parties shall also file a Notice of Submission of Confidential  
12 Settlement Conference Statement (see Local Rule 270(d)). Settlement statements  
13 **should not be filed** with the Clerk of the Court **nor served on any other party**.  
14 Settlement statements shall be clearly marked “confidential” with the date and time of  
15 the settlement conference indicated prominently thereon.
- 16 5. The confidential settlement statement shall be **no longer than five pages** in length,  
17 typed or neatly printed, and include the following:
- 18 a. A brief statement of the facts of the case.
  - 19 b. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds  
20 upon which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties’

21 \_\_\_\_\_  
22 <sup>1</sup> While the exercise of its authority is subject to abuse of discretion review, “the district court has the authority to  
23 order parties, including the federal government, to participate in mandatory settlement conferences...” United States  
24 v. United States District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands, 694 F.3d 1051, 1053, 1057, 1059 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2012)  
25 (“the district court has broad authority to compel participation in mandatory settlement conference[s].”). The term  
26 “full authority to settle” means that the individuals attending the mediation conference must be authorized to fully  
27 explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. G. Heileman  
28 Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1989), cited with approval in Official Airline  
Guides, Inc. v. Goss, 6 F.3d 1385, 1396 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1993). The individual with full authority to settle must also have  
“unfettered discretion and authority” to change the settlement position of the party, if appropriate. Pitman v. Brinker  
Int’l, Inc., 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003), amended on recon. in part, Pitman v. Brinker Int’l, Inc., 2003  
WL 23353478 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement  
authority is that the parties’ view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. Pitman, 216 F.R.D.  
at 486. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the  
requirement of full authority to settle. Nick v. Morgan’s Foods, Inc., 270 F.3d 590, 596-97 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

likelihood of prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute.

- c. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial, and trial.
- d. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a history of past settlement discussions, offers, and demands.
- e. A brief statement of each party's expectations and goals for the settlement conference, including how much a party is willing to accept and/or willing to pay.
- f. If the parties intend to discuss the joint settlement of any other actions or claims not in this suit, a brief description of each action or claim as set forth above, including case number(s) if applicable.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 3, 2018

/s/ Eric P. Shroy  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE