1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	CHARITO C. ANABEZA,	Case No. 1:16-cv-01193-SKO	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER THAT PLAINTIFF FILE A WRITTEN STATEMENT SHOWING	
13	V.	CAUSE WHY CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED OR THAT PLAINTIFF FILE	
14	NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,	AN OPENING BRIEF	
15	Defendant.	(Docs. 7 & 8)	
16	/		
17	On May 25, 2016, Plaintiff, proceeding <i>i</i>	<i>n pro per</i> and <i>in forma pauperis</i> , filed the present	
18	action in this Court. (Doc. 1.) Plaintiff seel	ks review of the Commissioner's denial of her	
19	application for benefits. (Doc. 1.)		
20	The Court entered a Scheduling Order o	n August 29, 2016 (Doc. 7), setting the deadline	
21	for Plaintiff to file her opening brief to "with	nin thirty (30) days of service" of Defendant's	
22	response to Plaintiff's confidential letter brief. (	See id. at ¶ 6.) On August 30, 2016, Plaintiff was	
23	served with an Informational Order for Pro Se	e Litigants. (Doc. 8) The Informational Order	
24	detailed Plaintiff's responsibilities as a pro se liti	gant, including the substantive requirements of an	
25	opening brief and reiterated the deadline for film	ng the brief. (Doc. 8, p. 2.) Plaintiff was further	
26	advised of the deadlines for the Commissioner's	responsive brief and for any reply brief. (Id., p.	
27	3.) These deadlines were also set forth on page 3	3 of the Informational Order which was served on	
28			

I

1	Plaintiff.	( <i>Id</i> .)
---	------------	----------------

2	Pursuant to the Scheduling Order and the Informational Order, Plaintiff's opening brief		
3	was due <b>April 24, 2017</b> 95 days from service of the administrative record on January 19, 2017.		
4	(Docs. 7 & 8.) On April 24, 2017, Plaintiff failed to file and serve her opening brief with the		
5	Court and on opposing counsel. (See Docket.) Plaintiff is, therefore, ordered to show cause, if		
6	any, why the action should not be dismissed for failure to comply with the Court's Scheduling		
7	Order and Informational Order. (Docs. 7 & 8.). Alternatively, Plaintiff may file an opening brief.		
8	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:		
9	1. By no later than <b>June 30, 2017</b> , Plaintiff shall <u>either</u> :		
10	a. file a written response to this Order to Show Cause; or		
11	b. file an Opening Brief that conforms with the requirements set forth in the		
12	Informational Order.		
13	Failure to respond to this Order to Show Cause will result in dismissal of this action.		
14			
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
16	Dated: June 13, 2017 Isl Sheila K. Oberto		
	Dated: June 13, 2017 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
16			
16 17			
16 17 18			
16 17 18 19			
16 17 18 19 20			
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>			