1		
2		
2		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MICHAEL CARTER,	No. 1:16-cv-01202-DAD-SAB
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	J. UHLIK, et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING MOTION TO RESCIND SETTLEMENT
15	Defendants.	AGREEMENT
16		(Doc. Nos. 20, 21)
17	Plaintiff pursued this civil rights action pro se and in forma pauperis pursuant to 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983. On June 15, 2017, the parties filed a stipulation of dismissal with prejudice and	
19	the case was closed on that same date. (Doc. Nos. 18, 19.) On June 19, 2017, plaintiff filed a	
20	motion to rescind the settlement agreement. (Doc. No. 20.) On June 21, 2017, the assigned	
21	magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending that plaintiff's motion to	
22	rescind the settlement agreement be denied.	(Doc. No. 21.) The findings and recommendations
23	were served on the parties and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within	
24	fourteen days. To date, no objections have been filed and the time for doing so has passed.	
25	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the undersigned has	
26	conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the	
27	undersigned concludes the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by	
28	proper analysis.	
		1

1	Accordingly:
2	1. The findings and recommendations issued June 21, 2017 (Doc. No. 21) are adopted in
3	full; and
4	2. Plaintiff's motion to rescind the settlement agreement (Doc. No. 20) is denied.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated: December 2, 2017 Jale A. Dryd
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2