

1 non-movant an opportunity to respond]. Rather than appreciating this accommodation, plaintiff
2 now moves the Court for leave to file a second reply brief and argues he would be prejudiced if it
3 is not allowed. In making this argument, the plaintiff ignores that this is a situation of his own
4 making. Moreover, if the second reply is allowed, then in fairness, the Court should permit the
5 defense an opportunity to argue the matter. The Court refuses the invitation to take this route
6 which would further increase the fees in this case. Thus, the plaintiff's motion to file a second
7 reply is **DENIED**.

8
9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated: August 1, 2017

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE