1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ARMANDO OSEGUEDA, et al., Case No. 1:16-cv-01218-LJO-BAM 12 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY Plaintiffs. SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED 13 FOR FAILURE TO OBEY A COURT ORDER v. 14 STANISLAUS COUNTY PUBLIC (Doc. No. 50.) SAFETY CENTER, et al., 15 FOURTEEN (14) DAY DEADLINE Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiffs Armando Osegueda and Robert Palomino ("Plaintiffs") filed this action on 18 19 August 16, 2016. (Doc. No. 1.) On February 5, 2017, a Second Amended Complaint was filed 20 adding David Lomeli and Jairo Hernandez as Plaintiffs. (Doc. No. 22.) On January 29, 2019, the 21 Court approved the parties' stipulation to stay this matter pending resolution of the state criminal 22 proceedings against Plaintiffs. (Doc. No. 50.) The Court further directed Plaintiffs to file a written status report every ninety (90) days notifying the Court of the status of the criminal 23

matter. (*Id.*) More than ninety days has elapsed since the last submission of a status report on January 29, 2020, and Plaintiffs have failed to file a timely written status report.

Accordingly, Plaintiffs are HEREBY ORDERED to SHOW CAUSE why sanctions should not be imposed for their failure to comply with the Court's order requiring the submission of a status report every ninety (90) days. Plaintiffs shall file a written response to this order to show

24

25

26

27

28

1	cause within fourteen (14) days of service of this order. Plaintiffs may also comply with this		
2	order by filing the required status report.		
3	Failure to respond to this order to show cause may result in the imposition of		
4	sanctions on counsel or parties who contribute to violation of the Court's order.		
5			
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
7	Dated:	May 4, 2020	/s/Barbara A. McAuliffe
8			UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
2122			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	ii .		