1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ARMANDO OSEGUEDA, et al. No. 1:16-cv-01218-JLT-BAM 12 ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND Plaintiffs. RECOMMENDATIONS 13 v. (Doc. 82) 14 STANISLAUS COUNTY PUBLIC SAFETY CENTER, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Armando Osegueda and Robert Palomino initiated this action on August 16, 2016. (Doc. 1.) Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint, filed on February 5, 2017, added David Lomeli and 18 19 Jairo Hernandez as plaintiffs. (Doc. 22.) On January 29, 2019, the Court approved the parties' 20 stipulation to stay this matter pending resolution of state criminal proceedings against Plaintiffs 21 Armando Osegueda and Robert Palomino. (Doc. 50.) 22 On June 16, 2022, the assigned magistrate judge issued amended findings and recommendations recommending that this action be dismissed based on Plaintiff's ongoing and 23 24 repeated failures to comply with this Court's orders. (Doc. 82.) Those findings and 25 recommendations were served on the parties and contained notice that any objections thereto 26 were to be filed within 14 days after service. (Id.) No objections have been filed, and the time in 27 which to do so has passed.

28

///

1	According to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(c), this Court has conducted a de novo review of the
2	case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds that the findings and
3	recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus,
4	1. The findings and recommendations issued on June 16, 2022 (Doc. 82) are
5	ADOPTED IN FULL.
6	2. This action is <b>DISMISSED</b> based on Plaintiffs' ongoing and repeated failures to
7	obey this Court's orders.
8	3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.
9	
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.
11	Dated: July 7, 2022  United STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	