

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BERNARDINA GOMEZ,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
CITY OF FARMERSVILLE, et al.,  
  
Defendants.

**Case No. 1:16-cv-01252-AWI-SKO**

**SCHEDULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)**

**Discovery Deadlines:**  
Initial Disclosures: January 3, 2017  
Non Expert Discovery: October 10, 2017  
Expert Disclosures: October 16, 2017  
Rebuttal Expert Disclosures: November 6, 2017  
Expert Discovery: December 22, 2017

**Mid-Discovery Status Conference Deadlines:**  
Status Report: August 8, 2017  
Status Conference: August 15, 2017

**Non-Dispositive Motion Deadlines:**  
Filing: January 3, 2018  
Hearing: January 31, 2018

**Dispositive Motion Deadlines:**  
Filing: February 5, 2018  
Hearing: March 19, 2018

**Settlement Conference:**  
December 5, 2017, at 10:00 a.m.  
Courtroom 7

**Pre-Trial Conference:**  
May 16, 2018, at 10:00 a.m.  
Courtroom 2

**Trial:** July 10, 2018, at 8:30 a.m.  
Courtroom 2  
7-10 Trial Days

1           **I. Date of Scheduling Conference**

2           The Scheduling Conference was held on December 20, 2016.

3           **II. Appearances of Counsel**

4           Daniel Kopfman, Esq., appeared on behalf of Plaintiff Bernardina Gomez.

5           Craig Smith, Esq., appeared on behalf of Defendants City of Farmersville and  
6 Farmersville Police Department.

7           **III. Consent to Magistrate Judge**

8           The United States District Judges of the Eastern District of California currently have the  
9 heaviest caseload in the nation. Therefore, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), the parties who have  
10 not consented to conduct all further proceedings in this case, including trial, before United States  
11 Magistrate Judge Sheila K. Oberto, are encouraged to consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction in  
12 an effort to have their case adjudicated in a timely and cost effective manner. Presently, when a  
13 civil trial is set before Judge Ishii, any criminal trial set which conflicts with the civil trial will  
14 take priority, even if the civil trial was set first. Continuances of civil trials under these  
15 circumstances will no longer be entertained, absent a specific and stated finding of good cause,  
16 but the civil trial will instead trail from day to day or week to week until the completion of either  
17 the criminal case or the older civil case. The parties are advised that they are free to withhold  
18 consent or decline magistrate jurisdiction without adverse substantive consequences.

19           **IV. Initial Disclosure under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)**

20           The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P.  
21 26(a)(1) on or before January 3, 2017.

22           **V. Amendments to Pleadings**

23           Any motions or stipulations requesting leave to amend the pleadings must be filed by no  
24 later than April 12, 2017. The parties are advised that filing motions and/or stipulations  
25 requesting leave to amend the pleadings by April 12, 2017 does not reflect on the propriety of the  
26 amendment or imply good cause to modify the existing schedule, if necessary. All proposed  
27 amendments must (A) be supported by good cause pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) if the  
28 amendment requires any modification to the existing schedule, *see Johnson v. Mammoth*

1 *Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992), and (B) establish, under Fed. R. Civ. P.  
2 15(a), that such an amendment is not (1) prejudicial to the opposing party, (2) the product of  
3 undue delay, (3) proposed in bad faith, or (4) futile, *see Foman v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178, 182  
4 (1962).

5 **VI. Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Dates**

6 The parties are ordered to complete all non-expert discovery on or before October 10,  
7 2017, and all expert discovery on or before December 22, 2017.

8 The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses, in writing, on or before October  
9 16, 2017, and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before November 6, 2017. The written  
10 designation of retained and non-retained experts shall **be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule**  
11 **26(a)(2), (A), (B) and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder.** Failure to  
12 designate experts in compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or  
13 other evidence offered through such experts that are not properly disclosed in compliance with  
14 this order.

15 The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to  
16 experts and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and  
17 opinions included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions,  
18 which may include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

19 The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement  
20 disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

21 The parties are cautioned that the discovery/expert cut-off deadlines are the dates by  
22 which all discovery must be completed. Absent good cause, discovery motions will not be heard  
23 after the discovery deadlines. Moreover, absent good cause, the Court will only grant relief on a  
24 discovery motion if the relief requested requires the parties to act before the expiration of the  
25 relevant discovery deadline. In other words, discovery requests and deposition notices must be  
26 served sufficiently in advance of the discovery deadlines to permit time for a response, time to  
27 meet and confer, time to prepare, file and hear a motion to compel and time to obtain relief on a  
28 motion to compel. Counsel are expected to take these contingencies into account when proposing

1 discovery deadlines.

2 A mid-discovery status conference is set for August 15, 2017, at 10:15 a.m. in Courtroom  
3 7 before U.S. Magistrate Judge Sheila K. Oberto. Telephonic appearances are approved; all  
4 parties appearing telephonically shall coordinate one conference call to the Court at the date and  
5 time for the conference. By no later than August 8, 2017, the parties shall file a report providing  
6 an updated status of the case and discovery proceedings. If the parties determine that a status  
7 conference is unnecessary, they may request that the Court vacate the conference.

8 **VII. Pretrial Motion Schedule**

9 Unless prior leave of the Court is obtained at least 7 days before the filing date, all moving  
10 and opposition briefs or legal memorandum in civil cases shall not exceed 25 pages. Reply briefs  
11 filed by the moving parties shall not exceed 10 pages. Before scheduling any motion, the parties  
12 must comply with all requirements set forth in Local Rule 230 and 251.

13 **A. Non-Dispositive Pretrial Motions**

14 All non-dispositive pretrial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed by  
15 January 3, 2018, and heard on or before January 31, 2018. Non-dispositive motions are heard on  
16 Wednesdays at 9:30 a.m., before United States Magistrate Judge Sheila K. Oberto in Courtroom  
17 7.

18 In scheduling such motions, the parties shall comply with Local Rule 230. The Magistrate  
19 Judge may grant Applications for an Order Shortening Time pursuant to Local Rule 144(e).  
20 However, if counsel does not obtain an Order Shortening Time, the Notice of Motion must  
21 comply with Local Rule 251.

22 Compliance with the discovery deadlines requires motions to compel to be filed *and heard*  
23 *sufficiently* in advance of the discovery deadline so that the Court may grant effective relief  
24 within the allotted discovery time. A party's failure to have a discovery dispute heard sufficiently  
25 in advance of the discovery cutoff may result in denial of the motion as untimely. Counsel may  
26 appear and argue non-dispositive motions by telephone, providing a request to so appear is made  
27 to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Clerk no later than 3 court days before the noticed hearing  
28 date. In the event that more than one attorney requests to appear by telephone, it shall be the

1 obligation of the moving part(ies) to arrange and originate a conference call to the Court.

2        ***Discovery Disputes:*** If a motion is brought under Fed. R. Civ. P. 37, the parties must  
3 prepare and file a Joint Statement re Discovery Disagreement (“Joint Statement”) as required by  
4 Local Rule 251. The Joint Statement must be filed 7 calendar days before the scheduled hearing  
5 date. Courtesy copies of all motion-related documents, declarations, and exhibits must be  
6 delivered to the Clerk’s Office by 10:00 a.m. at least 4 court days before the scheduled hearing  
7 date. Motions will be removed from the court’s hearing calendar if the Joint Statement is not  
8 timely filed or if courtesy copies are not timely delivered. To satisfy the meet and confer  
9 requirement set forth in Local Rule 251(b), the parties must confer by speaking with each other in  
10 person, over the telephone or via video conferencing before the hearing about the discovery  
11 dispute. The Court may issue sanctions against the moving party or the opposing party if either  
12 party fails to meet and confer in good faith.

13        **B. Dispositive Pretrial Motions**

14        All dispositive pretrial motions shall be filed no later than February 5, 2018, and heard no  
15 later than March 19, 2018, in Courtroom 2 before the Honorable Anthony W. Ishii, United States  
16 District Judge. In scheduling such motions, counsel shall comply with **Fed. R. Civ. P 56 and**  
17 **Local Rules 230 and 260.**

18        ***Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication:*** Prior to filing a motion for  
19 summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication the parties are ORDERED to meet, in  
20 person or by telephone, and confer to discuss the issues to be raised in the motion.

21        The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment  
22 where a question of fact exists, 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has  
23 merit in whole or in part, 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity for  
24 briefing, 4) narrow the issues for review by the court, 5) explore the possibility of settlement  
25 before the parties incur the expense of briefing a summary judgment motion, and 6) arrive at a  
26 Joint Statement of Undisputed Facts.

27        The moving party shall initiate the meeting and provide a draft of the Joint Statement of  
28 Undisputed Facts. **In addition to complying with the requirements of Local Rule 260 the**

1 **moving party shall file a Joint Statement of Undisputed Facts.**

2 In the Notice of Motion, the moving party shall certify that the parties have met and  
3 conferred as ordered above or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and  
4 confer.

5 **VIII. Pretrial Conference Date**

6 The Pretrial Conference is set for May 16, 2018, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 2 before the  
7 Honorable Anthony W. Ishii, United States District Judge.

8 The parties are ordered to file a **Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule**  
9 **281(a)(2)**. The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their Pretrial Statement in  
10 Word format, directly to Judge Ishii's chambers by email at AWIorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

11 Counsel's attention is directed to **Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules** for the Eastern  
12 District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the Pretrial Conference.  
13 The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth  
14 in the Local Rules the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be  
15 used by the Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.

16 **IX. Trial Date**

17 Trial is set for July 10, 2018, at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 2 before the Honorable Anthony  
18 W. Ishii, United States District Court Judge.

19 a. This is a jury trial.

20 b. Counsel's estimate of trial time: 7-10 days.

21 c. Counsel's attention is directed to Local Rule 285 for the Eastern District of  
22 California.

23 **X. Settlement Conference**

24 A Settlement Conference is scheduled for December 5, 2017, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom  
25 7 before United States Magistrate Judge Sheila K. Oberto. Unless otherwise permitted in advance  
26 by the Court, the attorneys who will try the case shall appear at the Settlement Conference with  
27 the parties and the person or persons having full authority to negotiate and settle the case on any  
28 terms at the conference. Insurance carriers, business organizations, and governmental bodies or

1 agencies whose settlement agreements are subject to approval by legislative bodies, executive  
2 committees, boards of directors or the like shall be represented by a person or persons who  
3 occupy high executive positions in the party organization and who will be directly involved in the  
4 process of approval of any settlement offers or agreements. To the extent possible the  
5 representative shall have the authority, if he or she deems it appropriate, to settle the action on  
6 terms consistent with the opposing party's most recent demand. At least 1 week prior to the  
7 Settlement Conference the parties shall submit a Confidential Settlement Conference Statement  
8 directly to the chambers of Magistrate Judge Sheila K. Oberto by e-mail at  
9 skoorders@caed.uscourts.gov. The statement should not be filed with the Clerk of Court nor  
10 served on any other party, although, the parties may file a Notice of Lodging of Settlement  
11 Conference Statement. Each statement shall be clearly marked CONFIDENTIAL with the date  
12 and time of the Settlement Conference indicated prominently thereon. The Confidential  
13 Settlement Conference Statement shall include the following:

- 14 a. A brief statement of the facts of the case,
- 15 b. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon  
16 which the claims are founded, a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of  
17 prevailing on the claims and defenses, and a description of the major issues in  
18 dispute,
- 19 c. A summary of the proceedings to date,
- 20 d. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial and  
21 trial,
- 22 e. The relief sought, and
- 23 f. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a  
24 history of past settlement discussions, offers and demands.

25 This Court will vacate the settlement conference if the Court finds the settlement  
26 conference will be neither productive nor meaningful in attempting to resolve all or part of this  
27 case. As far in advance of the settlement conference as possible, a party shall inform the Court  
28 and other parties that it believes the case is not in a settlement posture so the Court may vacate or

1 reset the settlement conference. Otherwise the parties shall proceed with the settlement  
2 conference in good faith to attempt to resolve all or part of this case.

3 **XI. Requests for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or Other**  
4 **Techniques to Shorten Trial**

5 Not applicable at this time.

6 **XII. Related Matters Pending**

7 There are no pending related matters.

8 **XIII. Compliance with Federal Procedure**

9 All counsel appearing are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of  
10 Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep  
11 abreast of any amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules if it  
12 is to efficiently handle its increasing case load and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow  
13 the Rules as provided in both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules for the  
14 Eastern District of California.

15 Additional requirements and more detailed procedures for courtroom practice before  
16 United States Magistrate Judge Sheila K. Oberto can be found at the United States District Court  
17 for the Eastern District of California's website ([www.caed.uscourts.gov](http://www.caed.uscourts.gov)) under Judges, United  
18 States Magistrate Judge Sheila K. Oberto (SKO).<sup>1</sup> All parties and counsel shall comply with the  
19 guidelines set forth therein.

20 **XIV. Effect of this Order**

21 This order represents the best estimate of the Court and counsel as to the agenda most  
22 suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the  
23 parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are  
24 ordered to notify the Court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by  
25 stipulation or by subsequent status conference.

26 //

27  
28 

---

<sup>1</sup> In the area entitled "Case Management Procedures," there is a link to "Court Procedures."



1 Stipulations extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they  
2 are accompanied by affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which  
3 establish good cause for granting the relief requested. The parties are advised that due to the  
4 impacted nature of civil cases on the district judges in the Eastern District of California, Fresno  
5 Division, that stipulations to continue set dates are disfavored and will not be granted absent good  
6 cause.

7 **Should counsel or a party appearing pro se fail to comply with the directions as set**  
8 **forth above, an ex parte hearing may be held and contempt sanctions, including monetary**  
9 **sanctions, dismissal, default, or other appropriate judgment, may be imposed and/or**  
10 **ordered.**

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12  
13 Dated: December 21, 2016

*/s/ Sheila K. Oberto*  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE