

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ARNOLD TRUJILLO,
Plaintiff,
v.
SHERMAN, et al.,
Defendants.

1:16-cv-01277-DAD-JLT (PC)
**FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION TO
DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE FOR
PLAINTIFF’S FAILURE TO COMPLY
WITH THE COURT’S ORDER AND
FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM**
(Docs. 1, 9)
21-DAY DEADLINE

On May 1, 2017, the Court dismissed Plaintiff’s Complaint for failure to state any cognizable claims and granted leave for Plaintiff to file a first amended complaint within thirty days. (Doc. 8.) More than thirty days has lapsed without Plaintiff filing an amended complaint or other response to the Court’s Order. Thus, on June 13, 2017, the Court ordered Plaintiff to show cause within twenty-one days why this action should not be dismissed for both his failure to state a claim and to comply with the court’s order. (Doc. 9.) Plaintiff was warned that the failure to comply with the Court’s order would result in dismissal of this action for his failure to obey a court order, failure to prosecute, and failure to state a cognizable claim. (Docs. 8, 9.)

The Local Rules, corresponding with Fed. R. Civ. P. 11, provide, “[f]ailure of counsel, or of a party to comply with . . . any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court.” Local Rule 110.

1 “District courts have inherent power to control their dockets,” and in exercising that power, a
2 court may impose sanctions, including dismissal of an action. *Thompson v. Housing Authority of*
3 *Los Angeles*, 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action with prejudice,
4 based on a party’s failure to prosecute an action or failure to obey a court order, or failure to
5 comply with local rules. *See, e.g. Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992)
6 (dismissal for failure to comply with an order requiring amendment of complaint); *Malone v. U.S.*
7 *Postal Service*, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987) (dismissal for failure to comply with a court
8 order); *Henderson v. Duncan*, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (dismissal for failure to
9 prosecute and to comply with local rules).

10 Based on Plaintiff’s failure to comply with or otherwise respond to the order which
11 dismissed the Complaint, there is no alternative but to dismiss the action for his failure to respond
12 to/obey a court order, failure to prosecute, and failure to state a cognizable claim.

13 Accordingly, the Court **RECOMMENDS** that this action be **DISMISSED with**
14 **prejudice**, for Plaintiff’s failure to obey a court order, to prosecute this action, and to state a
15 cognizable claim.

16 These Findings and Recommendations will be submitted to the United States District
17 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). **Within 30**
18 **days** after being served with these Findings and Recommendations, Plaintiff may file written
19 objections with the Court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s
20 Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
21 specified time may result in the waiver of rights on appeal. *Wilkerson v. Wheeler*, 772 F.3d 834,
22 839 (9th Cir. 2014) (citing *Baxter v. Sullivan*, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991)).

23
24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: August 1, 2017

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE