

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ARNOLDO TRUJILLO,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
SHERMAN, et al.,  
Defendants.

**Case No. 1:16-cv-01277-DAD-JLT (PC)**  
**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THE**  
**ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED**  
**FOR PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE TO**  
**COMPLY WITH THE COURT'S ORDER**  
**(Docs. 1, 8)**  
**21-DAY DEADLINE**

On May 1, 2017, the Court issued an order finding that Plaintiff failed to state any cognizable claims, dismissing the Complaint, and granting leave for Plaintiff to file a first amended complaint within 30 days. (Doc. 8.) More than 30 days have passed and Plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint or otherwise respond to the Court’s Order.

The Local Rules, corresponding with Fed. R. Civ. P. 11, provide, “[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with . . . any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court.” Local Rule 110. “District courts have inherent power to control their dockets,” and in exercising that power, a court may impose sanctions, including dismissal of an action. *Thompson v. Housing Authority of Los Angeles*, 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action with prejudice, based on a party’s failure to prosecute an action or failure to obey a court order, or failure to comply with local rules. *See, e.g. Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (dismissal for

1 failure to comply with an order requiring amendment of complaint); *Malone v. U.S. Postal*  
2 *Service*, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987) (dismissal for failure to comply with a court order);  
3 *Henderson v. Duncan*, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (dismissal for failure to prosecute and  
4 to comply with local rules).

5 Accordingly, Plaintiff is **ORDERED** to show cause **within 21 days** of the date of service  
6 of this order why the action should not be dismissed for both his failure to state a claim and to  
7 comply with the Court's order; alternatively, within that same time, Plaintiff may file a notice of  
8 voluntary dismissal.

9  
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: **June 12, 2017**

**/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston**  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28