## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 RANDY LANGLEY, Case No.: 1:16-cv-1299-LJO-JLT (PC) 12 Plaintiff, AMENDED ORDER REFERRING THE CASE 13 TO POST-SCREENING ADR PROJECT AND v. STAYING THE CASE FOR 90 DAYS E. GARCIA, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 17 When at least one defendant has been served, the Court is referring all post-screening, civil 18 rights cases filed by pro se inmates to the Post-Screening Alternative Dispute Resolution Project to 19 attempt to resolve cases more quickly and less expensively. No defenses or objections are waived by 20 participation. 21 As set forth in the screening order, the Court has found the plaintiff has stated at least one 22 cognizable civil rights claim. Thus, the Court STAYS this action for 90 days to allow the parties to 23 investigate the plaintiff's claims, meet and confer and participate in a settlement conference. 24 There is a presumption that all post-screening civil rights cases assigned to the undersigned will proceed to settlement conference. However, if after investigating plaintiff's claims 25 26 and speaking with plaintiff, defense counsel finds in good faith that a settlement conference would be 27 28

a waste of resources<sup>2</sup>, defense counsel may move to opt out of this pilot project.

Notwithstanding the requirements of Local Rule 270(b), the settlement conference will be conducted by Magistrate Judge Thurston. The Court deems the deviation from the Local Rule to be appropriate and in the interests of the parties and justice and sound case management based upon the location of the parties. If any party prefers that the settlement conference be conducted by a judicial officer who is not assigned to this case, that party is directed to notify the Court in response to this order of this preference and another judicial officer to be assigned to handle the conference. If all parties to the action have consented to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction, the settlement conference will be reassigned to a different judicial officer.

Within 35 days, defense counsel SHALL contact the Courtroom Deputy Clerk at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov, to schedule the settlement conference. If the settlement conference cannot be set quickly due to the court's calendar, the parties may seek an extension of the initial 90-day stay.

Once the settlement conference is scheduled, <u>at least seven days before</u> the conference, the parties shall submit to the assigned settlement judge a confidential settlement conference statement. The parties' confidential settlement conference statement **SHALL** include:

- a. A short statement of the facts and alleged damages;
- b. A short procedural history;
- c. A frank analysis of the likelihood of liability, including a discussion of the efforts made to investigate the claims;
  - d. A discussion of the efforts that have been made to settle the case:
- e. To the extent that Doe Defendants are named and their identities can be ascertained, defense counsel shall indicate the names of the Doe Defendants; and,
  - b. Defense counsel shall indicate whether he/she knows of the location of the defendants;Based upon the foregoing, the Court **ORDERS**:
- 1. <u>This action is **STAYED** for 90 days</u> to allow the parties an opportunity to settle their dispute before a responsive pleading is filed, or the discovery process begins. No other pleadings or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> By way of guidance, if the defense intends to file an exhaustion motion and believes in good faith that it has a significant chance of success, this would be a likely circumstance where the opt-out provision should be employed.

<sup>3</sup> Plaintiff filed a response to the initial order referring the case to the post-screening ADR project, so he does not need to

28

file another response. (See Docs.25, 28.)

1	7.	The parties are reminded of th	eir obligation to keep the court informed of any changes
2	of addresses during the stay and while the action is pending. Changes of address must be reported		
3	promptly in a separate document entitled "Notice of Change of Address." <i>See</i> L.R. 182(f).		
4			
5	IT IS SO OR	RDERED.	
6	Dated:	<b>December 6, 2018</b>	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
7		2, 202	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8	RANDY LANGLEY,	Coso No · 1·16 ov 1200 LIO H T (DC)	
9		Case No.: 1:16-cv-1299-LJO-JLT (PC)	
10	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANTS' NOTICE REGARDING EARLY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE	
11	V.		
12	GARCIA, et al.,		
13	Defendants.		
14			
15	As required by the Court's order:		
16			
17	1. The party or counsel for the party signing below, agrees that there is a good chance the		
18	an early settlement conference will resolve this action and wishes to engage in an early settlement		
19	conference.		
20	Yes No		
21			
22	2. The party or counsel for the party signing below, agrees the assigned Magistrate Judg		
23	may conduct the settlement conference.		
24	Yes No		
25			
26	Dated:		
27		Counsel for Defendants	
28			