UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
RANDY LANGLEY,	Case No.: 1:16-cv-01299-NONE-JLT (PC)
Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING DEFENDANTS TO
v.	SUBMIT SUPPLEMENT TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
E. GARCIA; G. COOK,	
Defendants.	
Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment on March 27, 2020. (Doc. 52.) Plaintiff	
has filed an opposition, to which Defendants have filed a reply. (Docs. 54, 55.) In their motion,	
9 Defendants reference videos of the incident at issue. (Doc. 52-1 at 5, 7, 13, 19.) Plaintiff also	
0 references the videos in his opposition. (Doc. 54 at 1, 2.) However, no party lodged the videos	
1 with the Court. In exhibits to their motion, Defendants provide sheets of paper that note video	
identification numbers and timestamps (Docs.	52-9–52-15), and they cite to these exhibits in their
Statement of Undisputed Facts (Doc. 52-2 at 2). However, without the videos themselves, or
4 declarations describing the videos, the timestamps are unilluminating.	
///	
///	
///	
///	
	EASTERN DISTR RANDY LANGLEY, Plaintiff, v. E. GARCIA; G. COOK, Defendants. Defendants filed a motion for summary has filed an opposition, to which Defendants h Defendants reference videos of the incident at references the videos in his opposition. (Doc. 4) with the Court. In exhibits to their motion, Definition numbers and timestamps (Docs. Statement of Undisputed Facts (Doc. 52-2 at 2) declarations describing the videos, the timestat ///

1	Accordingly, within 14 days, Defendants SHALL lodge the videos with the Court.
2	
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	Dated: June 19, 2020 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
21 22	
22	
23 24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2