1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6 7			
7 8	LINITED STATI	CS DISTRICT COURT	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9 10	EASTERN DISTR	KICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10 11		Case No. 1.1(≈ 0.1200 H T (DC)	
	RANDY LANGLEY,	Case No. 1:16-cv-01299-JLT (PC)	
12 13	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER DENYING PLAINITFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OR JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW	
14	E. GARCIA; G. COOK,	(Doc. 68)	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment, pursuant to Federal Rule of		
18	Civil Procedure 56, or for judgment as a matter of law in a jury trial, pursuant to Rule 50. (Doc.		
19	68.) The deadline for filing pretrial dispositive motions was March 27, 2020 (Doc. 50), and this		
20	case is set for trial on August 9, 2021 (Doc. 67). Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment under		
21	Rule 56 is therefore untimely, and his motion under Rule 50 is premature. Furthermore, as the		
22	Court described in its findings and recommendations to deny Defendants' motion for summary		
23	judgment (Doc. 59), this case presents genuine disputes of material fact, which makes summary		
24	judgment inappropriate.		
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		

1	Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion is DENIED.		
2	Accordingly, Flammer's motion is DEMIED.		
2	IT IS SO OF	RDERED.	
4	Dated:	December 8, 2020	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
5			UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			2