

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICHARD TREVINO TAMEZ,
Petitioner,
v.
RAYMOND MADDEN, Warden,
Respondent.

No. 1:16-cv-01314-LJO-SKO HC

**ORDER DENYING RESPONDENT'S
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**

(Doc. 10)

Respondent Raymond Madden, by his attorneys, moves for a 30-day extension of time in which to file his response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus in the above-captioned case. Although Respondent indicates that Petitioner does not object to the requested time extension, the parties do not submit a stipulation of extension of time. Nor does Respondent explain why he requires additional time to file objections.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1) provides that a court may extend time “for good cause.” Petitioner has failed to articulate good cause for an extension of time in this instance.

Good cause not having been shown, the motion for an extension of time in which to file a response to the petition for habeas corpus is hereby DENIED, without prejudice to Respondent’s submitting a revised motion setting forth good cause for the grant of an extension.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 7, 2016

/s/ Sheila K. Olerto
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE