## 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 MELANIE C. LATRONICA, Case No. 1:16-cv-01352-LJO-SAB ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR 12 Plaintiff, SUMMONS AS MOOT 13 v. (ECF No. 20) 14 MERRILL LYNCH, et al., Defendants. 15 16 Plaintiff, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this action on July 28, 2016. 17 (ECF No. 1.) On December 15, 2016, Plaintiff's first amended complaint was dismissed without 18 19 leave to amend, Plaintiff's motions for arrest warrants and injunctions were denied, Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the magistrate judge's order denying Plaintiff's motion to recuse 20 was denied, and the Clerk of the Court was directed to close this action. (ECF No. 24.) 21 On January 3, 2017, Plaintiff filed the instant motion for summons. (ECF No. 26.) 22 Plaintiff states that "[t]he summons must be stamped by the Clerks Office before I can address the 23 main defendants or before the court can warrant arrests. The case is a criminal torture case and 24 the torture is still active including the activity." (ECF No. 26 at 1.) 25 However, this action was closed on December 15, 2016. (ECF No. 24.) The first 26 amended complaint was dismissed and Plaintiff's motions for warrants and injunctions were 27 denied on December 15, 2016. (ECF No. 24.) The Court notes that Plaintiff was served with a 28

1

copy of the order adopting findings and a copy of the judgment on December 15, 2016, but they were returned to the Court as undeliverable on January 9, 2017. As this action is closed, Plaintiff's motion for summons is denied as moot. No further motions for a summons in this action shall be considered by the Court.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for summons is DENIED as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **January 11, 2017** 

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE