## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 LAWRENCE CHRISTOPHER SMITH, Case No. 1:16-cv-01356-LJO-BAM (PC) 12 Plaintiff. ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' REOUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO 13 OPPOSE PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS NUNC v. PRO TUNC 14 CHANELO, et al., (ECF No. 49) 15 Defendants. ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR 30-DAY EXTENSION OF TIME TO 16 FILE REPLY RE: MOTION TO AMEND THE **COMPLAINT** 17 (ECF No. 52) 18 THIRTY (30) DAY DEADLINE 19 20 Plaintiff Lawrence Christopher Smith ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se 21 and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action 22 currently proceeds on Plaintiff's first amended complaint for claims of excessive force against Defendants Sotelo, Chanelo, Wattree, Hunt, Castro, Gonzalez, Ramirez, and Rodriguez, related to 23 24 events of March 13, 2013. On December 13, 2019, Plaintiff filed a motion to amend the complaint and a motion for 25 26 joinder of claims, together with a lodged proposed amended complaint. (ECF Nos. 45, 46, 47.) 27 On January 2, 2020, Defendants filed a request for a fourteen-day extension of time to oppose Plaintiff's motions. (ECF No. 49.) On January 15, 2020, before the Court had ruled on the 28

1	request for an extension of time, Defendants filed their opposition to Plaintiff's motions. (ECF
2	No. 50.)
3	Currently before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for a thirty-day extension of time to file a
4	reply to Defendant's opposition, filed January 27, 2020. (ECF No. 52.) On January 29, 2020,
5	Defendants filed a notice of non-opposition to Plaintiff's motion for extension of time. (ECF No.
6	53.)
7	In light of Plaintiff's apparent non-opposition to Defendants' original request for
8	extension of time, and Defendants' non-opposition to Plaintiff's request, the Court finds good
9	cause to modify the briefing schedule in this matter. Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b).
10	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:
11	1. Defendants' request for extension of time to oppose Plaintiff's motions, (ECF No. 49)
12	is GRANTED, nunc pro tunc;
13	2. Defendant's opposition, filed January 15, 2020, is deemed timely filed;
14	3. Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time to file a reply in support of his pending
15	motion for joinder of claims and motion to amend the complaint, (ECF No. 52), is
16	GRANTED; and
17	4. Plaintiff shall file his reply within <b>thirty</b> (30) days from the date of service of this
18	order.
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.
20	II IS SO ORDERED.
21	Dated: January 31, 2020 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliff
22	UNITED STATES WAGISTRATE JUDGE
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	