1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	THOMAS A. CENSKE,	Case No. 1:16-cv-01424-AWI-SAB-HC
12	Petitioner,	ORDER DIRECTING RESPONDENT TO FILE A RESPONSE TO PETITIONER'S LETTER BRIEF RE: ACCESS TO LEGAL MATERIALS (ECF No. 13)
13	v.	
14	A. MATEVOUSIAN,	
15	Respondent.	(LET 100. 13)
16		
17	Petitioner is federal prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus	
18	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241.	
19	On November 3, 2016, Petitioner filed a letter brief claiming that the preparation of his	
20	pleadings ¹ has been inhibited by officials at the United States Penitentiary Atwater denying him	
21	access to legal property, transcripts, records, and law books, and retaliating against him for	
22	complaints. (ECF No. 13). The Court construes the letter brief as a motion for preliminary	
23	injunction. See See Bernhardt v. Los Angeles County, 339 F.2d 920, 925 (9th Cir. 2003) (courts	
24	have a duty to construe pro se pleadings and motions liberally).	
25	///	
26	///	
27		
28	The Court notes that Petitioner has no outstanding deadlines at this time and that Respondent has not yet filed a response to the petition.	

Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that Respondent shall file a response to Petitioner's letter brief within fourteen (14) days of the date of service of this order. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **November 10, 2016** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE