1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
8	EASTERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9		
10	ROBERT PRUITT,) 1: 16-CV-01457 - DAD - JLT
11	Plaintiff,) SCHEDULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)
12	V.) Pleading Amendment Deadline: 5/12/2017
13	KEY ENERGY SERVICES, LLC,) Discovery Deadlines:) Initial Disclosures: 3/3/2017) Non-Expert: 10/16/2017) Expert: 12/22/2017 Mid-Discovery Status Conference: 7/31/2017 at 9:00 a.m. Non-Dispositive Motion Deadlines:
14	Defendants.	
15		
16		
17		
18		Filing: 1/9/2018
19		Hearing: 2/6/2018
20		Dispositive Motion Deadlines:
21		Filing: 2/20/2018 Hearing: 4/3/2018
22		Settlement Conference:
23		4/13/2018 at 9:30 a.m.
24		510 19th Street, Bakersfield, CA
25		Pre-Trial Conference: 5/14/2018 at 2:30 p.m.
26		Courtroom 5
27		Trial: 6/26/2018 at 8:30 a.m.
28		Courtroom 5 Jury trial: 7-10 days
		1

2

3

4

5

1

I. Date of Scheduling Conference

February 13, 2017.

II. <u>Appearances of Counsel</u>

George Moschopoulos appeared on behalf of Plaintiff.

Kalley Aman appeared on behalf of Defendant.

6

7

III.

Magistrate Judge Consent:

Notice of Congested Docket and Court Policy of Trailing

B Due to the District Judges' heavy caseload, the newly adopted policy of the Fresno Division of
the Eastern District is to trail all civil cases. The parties are hereby notified that for a trial date set
before a District Judge, the parties will trail indefinitely behind any higher priority criminal or older
civil case set on the same date until a courtroom becomes available. The trial date will not be reset to a
continued date.

The Magistrate Judges' availability is far more realistic and accommodating to parties than that
of the U.S. District Judges who carry the heaviest caseloads in the nation and who must prioritize
criminal and older civil cases over more recently filed civil cases. A United States Magistrate Judge
may conduct trials, including entry of final judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Federal Rule of
Civil Procedure 73, and Local Rule 305. Any appeal from a judgment entered by a United States
Magistrate Judge is taken directly to the United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit.

The Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California, whenever possible, is utilizing United
States Article III District Court Judges from throughout the nation as Visiting Judges. Pursuant to the
Local Rules, Appendix A, such reassignments will be random, and the parties will receive no advance
notice before their case is reassigned to an Article III District Court Judge from outside of the Eastern
District of California.

Therefore, the parties are directed to consider consenting to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction to
conduct all further proceedings, including trial. <u>Within 10 days</u> of the date of this order, counsel
SHALL file a consent/decline form (provided by the Court at the inception of this case) indicating
whether they will consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge.

28

///

1 2 3

IV. <u>Pleading Amendment Deadline</u>

Any requested pleading amendments are ordered to be filed, either through a stipulation or motion to amend, no later than **May 12, 2017.** Any motion to amend shall be heard by the Honorable Dale A. Drozd, United States District Court Judge.

5

6

7

8

9

V.

4

Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date

The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) on or before **March 3, 2017**.

The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts on or before **October 16, 2017**, and all discovery pertaining to experts on or before **December 22, 2017**

The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses¹, in writing, on or before October 30,
 2017, and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before November 30, 2017. The written designation of
 retained and non-retained experts shall <u>be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B),</u>
 and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder. Failure to designate experts in
 compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered
 through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts
and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions
included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may
include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement
disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for July 31, 2017 at 9:00 a.m. before the
Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, U.S. Magistrate Judge, located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield,
California. Counsel SHALL file a joint mid-discovery status conference report one week before the
conference. Counsel also SHALL lodge the status report via e-mail to JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov.
The joint statement SHALL outline the discovery counsel have completed and that which needs to be

27

28

¹ In the event an expert will offer opinions related to an independent medical or mental health evaluation, the examination SHALL occur sufficiently in advance of the disclosure deadline so the expert's report fully details the expert's opinions in this regard.

completed as well as any impediments to completing the discovery within the deadlines set forth in this
order. Counsel may appear via CourtCall, providing a written notice of the intent to appear
telephonically is provided to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Deputy Clerk no later than five court
days before the noticed hearing date.

5 6

7

8

9

1

2

3

4

VI. <u>Pre-Trial Motion Schedule</u>

All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no later than **January 9, 2018**, and heard on or before **February 6, 2018**. Non-dispositive motions are heard before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge at the United States Courthouse in Bakersfield, California.

10 No motion to amend or stipulation to amend the case schedule will be entertained unless it is filed at least one week before the first deadline the parties wish to extend. Likewise, no written 11 discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned Magistrate Judge. A party 12 with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good faith effort to resolve by 13 14 agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the moving party promptly shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate Judge. It shall be the 15 16 obligation of the moving party to arrange and originate the conference call to the court. To schedule this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, Susan Hall, at 17 (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251 18 19 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped 20 from the Court's calendar.

All dispositive pre-trial motions shall be filed no later than February 20, 2018 and heard no
 later than April 3, 2018, in Courtroom 5 at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Dale A. Drozd, United
 States District Court Judge. In scheduling such motions, <u>counsel shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 56</u>
 <u>and Local Rules 230 and 260</u>.

- 25
- VII. Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication

<u>At least 21 days before</u> filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary
adjudication, the parties are **ORDERED** to meet, in person or by telephone, to confer about the issues
to be raised in the motion.

18

24

27

28

1

The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the expense of briefing a motion; and 6) to develop a joint statement of undisputed facts.

The moving party SHALL initiate the meeting and SHALL provide a complete, proposed statement of undisputed facts at least five days before the conference. The finalized joint statement of undisputed facts **SHALL** include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be deemed true. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file the joint statement of undisputed facts.

In the notice of motion the moving party **SHALL** certify that the parties have met and conferred as ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer. Failure to comply may result in the motion being stricken.

VIII. <u>Pre-Trial Conference Date</u>

May 14, 2018 at 2:30 p.m. in Courtroom 5 before Judge Drozd.

The parties are ordered to file a Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2). The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format, directly to Judge Drozd's chambers, by email at DADorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

19 Counsels' attention is directed to **Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules** of Practice for the 20 Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference. 21 The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the 22 Local Rules the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be used by the 23 Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.

IX. **Trial Date**

25 June 26, 2018 at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 5 before the Honorable Dale A. Drozd, United States District Court Judge. 26

- This is a jury trial. A.
- B. Counsels' Estimate of Trial Time: 7-10 days.

Counsels' attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of C. 1 2 California, Rule 285.

X. **Settlement Conference**

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

A Settlement Conference is scheduled for April 13, 2018 at 9:30 a.m., located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, California. Notwithstanding the provisions of Local Rule 270(b), the settlement conference will be conducted by Magistrate Judge Thurston. If any party prefers that the settlement conference is conducted by a judicial officer not already assigned to this case, that party is directed to notify the Court at least 60 days in advance of the scheduled settlement conference to allow sufficient time for another judicial officer to be assigned to handle the conference.

10 Unless otherwise permitted in advance by the Court, the attorneys who will try the case shall appear at the Settlement Conference with the parties and the person or persons having full authority 11 to negotiate and settle the case on any terms² at the conference. Consideration of settlement is a 12 serious matter that requires preparation prior to the settlement conference. Set forth below are the 13 procedures the Court will employ, absent good cause, in conducting the conference. 14

At least twenty-one days before the settlement conference, Plaintiff SHALL submit to 15 Defendant via fax or e-mail, a written itemization of damages and a meaningful³ settlement demand 16 which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate. Thereafter, no later than 17 fourteen days before the settlement conference, Defendant SHALL respond, via fax or e-mail, with an 18 acceptance of the offer or with a meaningful counteroffer which includes a brief explanation of why 19 20 such a settlement is appropriate.

If settlement is not achieved, each party SHALL attach copies of their settlement offers to their Confidential Settlement Conference Statement, as described below. Copies of these documents shall

² Insurance carriers, business organizations, and governmental bodies or agencies whose settlement agreements

23

21

22

24

27

are subject to approval by legislative bodies, executive committees, boards of directors or the like shall be represented by a person or persons who occupy high executive positions in the party organization and who will be directly involved in the 25 process of approval of any settlement offers or agreements. To the extent possible the representative shall have the authority, if he or she deems it appropriate, to settle the action on terms consistent with the opposing party's most recent 26 demand.

³ "Meaningful" means that the offer is reasonably calculated to settle the case on terms acceptable to the offering party. "Meaningful" does not include an offer which the offering party knows will not be acceptable to the other party. If, however, the offering party is only willing to offer a settlement which it knows the other party will not accept, this should 28 trigger a recognition the case is not in a settlement posture and the parties should confer about continuing or vacating the settlement conference via stipulation.

not be filed on the court docket.

1

2 **CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT** At least five court before the Settlement Conference, the parties shall submit, directly to Judge 3 4 Thurston's chambers by e-mail to JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov, a Confidential Settlement Conference 5 Statement. The statement should not be filed with the Clerk of the Court nor served on any other **party**, although the parties may file a Notice of Lodging of Settlement Conference Statement. Each 6 7 statement shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the Settlement Conference 8 indicated prominently thereon. The Confidential Settlement Conference Statement shall include the following: 9 10 A. A brief statement of the facts of the case. B. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which 11 12 the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute. 13 C. A summary of the proceedings to date. 14 An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial and trial. 15 D. 16 E. The relief sought. F. 17 The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a history of past settlement discussions, offers and demands. 18 XI. 19 **Request for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other** 20 **Techniques to Shorten Trial** 21 Not applicable at this time. XII. 22 **Related Matters Pending** 23 There are no pending related matters. 24 XIII. Compliance with Federal Procedure 25 All counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any 27 amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules if it is to efficiently 28 handle its increasing case load and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow the Rules as provided in both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of
 California.

XIV. Effect of this Order

The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by subsequent status conference.

9 The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a
10 showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations
11 extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by
12 affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause
13 for granting the relief requested.

Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 14, 2017

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE