| 1 | | |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | | |
| -3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | LOUIS A. ALARCON, | 1:16-cv-01461-JLT-(PC) |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO RESUBMIT DATED OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND VERIFICATION OF EXHIBITS |
| 13 | v. | |
| 14 | D. DAVEY, et al., | |
| 15 | Defendants. | (Doc. 36) |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | On November 30, 2018, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking leave to resubmit his opposition | |
| 18 | to Defendants' motion for summary judgment with a dated signature page and verified exhibits. | |
| 19 | (Doc. 36.) Though Plaintiff did not date and sign the portion of his opposition titled as his | |
| 20 | "notice of motion and motion opposing defendants' motion for summary judgment," (see Doc. | |
| 21 | 34, pp. 1-2), Plaintiff signed both his memorandum of points and authorities in opposition, (<i>id.</i> , at | |
| 22 | pp. 3-25), and his opposition to Defendants' statement of undisputed facts, (<i>id.</i> , at pp. 26-32). | |
| 23 | Further, Plaintiff's proof of service of his opposition is dated and contains a verification. (Id., p. | |
| 24 | 484.) | |
| 25 | The Court cannot consider unsigned documents, but Plaintiff signed the documents | |
| 26 | necessary and material to his opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment. | |
| 27 | Plaintiff's "notice of motion and motion" in opposition is not required and is superfluous to his | |
| 28 | | 1 |
| | | |

| 1 | opposition. Finally, the date Plaintiff signed his opposing documents is of no consequence; rather | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | the date that he gave his opposition to prison staff for mailing, as reflected on his proof or service, | |
| 3 | which will dictate whether his opposition was timely filed. See Douglas v. Noelle, 567 F.3d | |
| 4 | 1103, 1107 (9th Cir. 2009) quoting Houston v. Lack , 487 U.S. 266, 270 (1988) ("notice is | |
| 5 | deemed filed on the date the prisoner 'delivered the notice to prison authorities for forwarding to | |
| 6 | the [d]istrict [c]ourt'"). | |
| 7 | Accordingly, Plaintiff's "Motion For Leave to Resubmit Dated Summary Judgment Motion and Verification of Exhibits," filed on November 30, 2018 (Doc. 36), is DENIED. | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | Dated: December 4, 2018 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | 2 | |
| | | |