1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
9						
10	CHRISTINE TAYLOR,) Case no.: 1:16-cv-01484 - JLT				
11	Plaintiff,) SCHEDULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)				
12	v.) Pleading Amendment Deadline: 4/10/2017				
13	THE GEO GROUP, INC., et al.,)) Discovery Deadlines:				
14	Defendants.) Initial Disclosures: 1/31/2017				
15) Non-Expert: 11/13/2017 ——) Expert: 1/19/2018				
16		Mid-Discovery Status Conference: 7/3/2017 at 8:30 a.m.				
17		Non-Dispositive Motion Deadlines:				
18	Filing: 2/9/2018 Hearing: 3/9/2018					
19		-				
20		Dispositive Motion Deadlines: Filing: 2/9/2018				
21		Hearing: 3/9/2018				
22	Pre-Trial Conference:					
23		4/17/2018 at 8:30 a.m. 510 19 th Street, Bakersfield, CA				
24		Trial: 6/11/2018 at 8:30 a.m.				
25		510 19 th Street, Bakersfield, CA Jury trial: 6-8 days				
26		Jury mai. 0-0 days				
27	I. <u>Date of Scheduling Conference</u>					
28	January 12, 2017.					

II. **Appearances of Counsel** 1 2 Allyson Thompson appeared on behalf of Plaintiff. 3 Natalie Jansen appeared on behalf of Defendants. III. 4 **Magistrate Judge Consent** 5 The parties indicated their willingness to consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge on the record during the Scheduling Conference. 6 7 IV. **Pleading Amendment Deadline** 8 Any requested pleading amendments are ordered to be filed, either through a stipulation or 9 motion to amend, no later than April 10, 2017. V. 10 **Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date** The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) 11 12 on or before January 31, 2017. The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts on or before 13 November 13, 2017 and all discovery pertaining to experts on or before January 19, 2018. 14 The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses¹, in writing, on or before **November 30**, 15 16 2017, and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before December 21, 2017. The written designation of retained and non-retained experts shall be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B), 17 and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder. Failure to designate experts in 18 19 compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered 20 through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order. 21 The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions 22 23 included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may 24 include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony. 25 The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced. 26 27 ¹ In the event an expert will offer opinions related to an independent medical or mental health 28 evaluation, the examination SHALL occur sufficiently in advance of the disclosure deadline so the expert's

report fully details the expert's opinions in this regard.

A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for July 3, 2017 at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, U.S. Magistrate Judge, located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, California. Counsel SHALL file a joint mid-discovery status conference report one week before the conference. Counsel also SHALL lodge the status report via e-mail to JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov. The joint statement SHALL outline the discovery counsel have completed and that which needs to be completed as well as any impediments to completing the discovery within the deadlines set forth in this order. Counsel may appear via CourtCall.

VI. **Pre-Trial Motion Schedule**

All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no later 9 10 than February 9, 2018, and heard on or before March 9, 2018. Non-dispositive motions are heard before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge at the United States 11 12 Courthouse in Bakersfield, California.

13 No motion to amend or stipulation to amend the case schedule will be entertained unless it is filed at least one week before the first deadline the parties wish to extend. Likewise, no written 14 discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned Magistrate Judge. A party 15 16 with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the moving party promptly 17 shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate Judge. It shall be the 18 19 obligation of the moving party to arrange and originate the conference call to the court. To schedule 20 this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, Susan Hall, at 21 (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped 22 from the Court's calendar. 23

24 All dispositive pre-trial motions shall be filed no later than February 9, 2018, and heard no 25 later than March 9, 2018, before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge, at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield, California. In scheduling such motions, 26 27 counsel shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 and Local Rules 230 and 260. ///

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

V

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

VII. Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication

At least 21 days before filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication, the parties are **ORDERED** to meet, in person or by telephone, to confer about the issues to be raised in the motion.

The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the expense of briefing a motion; and 6) to develop a joint statement of undisputed facts.

The moving party SHALL initiate the meeting and SHALL provide a complete, proposed
statement of undisputed facts <u>at least five days before</u> the conference. The finalized joint statement of
undisputed facts SHALL include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be
deemed true. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file the joint
statement of undisputed facts.

In the notice of motion the moving party SHALL certify that the parties have met and conferred
as ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer. <u>Failure to</u>
comply may result in the motion being stricken.

18

19

20

21

22

VIII. <u>Pre-Trial Conference Date</u>

 April 17, 2018 at 8:30 a.m. at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield, California. The parties are ordered to file a Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2).
 The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format, directly to Judge Thurston's chambers, by email at JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

Counsels' attention is directed to <u>Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules</u> of Practice for the
Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference.
The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the
Local Rules the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be used by the
Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.

28

///

1	IX. <u>Trial Date</u>			
2	June 11, 2018 at 8:30 a.m, located at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield,			
3	California, before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge.			
4		А.	This is a jury trial.	
5		B.	Counsels' Estimate of Trial Time: 6-8 days.	
6		C.	Counsels' attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of	
7	California, Rule 285.			
8	X. <u>Settlement Conference</u>			
9		If the j	parties believe the matter is in a settlement posture and a settlement conference would be	
10	fruitful, they may file a joint written request for a conference with the Court.			
11	XI.	<u>Reque</u>	est for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other	
12		<u>Techn</u>	niques to Shorten Trial	
13		Not ap	oplicable at this time.	
14	XII.	<u>Relate</u>	ed Matters Pending	
15		There	are no pending related matters.	
16	XIII.	<u>Comp</u>	liance with Federal Procedure	
17		All co	unsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	
18	and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any			
19	amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules if it is to efficiently			
20	handle its increasing case load and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow the Rules as provided			
21	in both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of			
22	California.			
23	XIV.	Effect	t of this Order	
24		The fo	pregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most	
25	suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the			
26	parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered			
27	to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by			
28	subsequent status conference.			

1	The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a				
2	showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations				
3	extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied	by			
4	affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good caus	se			
5	for granting the relief requested.				
6	Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.				
7					
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
9	Dated: January 17, 2017 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston				
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE				
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					