1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JORGE MACHUCA,	) Case No.: 1:16-cv-01497 - JLT
12	Plaintiff,	<ul> <li>ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THE ACTION</li> <li>SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR</li> </ul>
13	V.	) PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE
14	COUNTY OF KERN, et al.,	) COURT'S ORDER AND FAILURE TO ) PROSECUTE
15	Defendants.	)
16		<u>(</u>
17	On August 10, 2017, the Court granted the motion of George Mgdesyan to withdraw as counsel	
18	for Plaintiff. (Doc. 23) The Court ordered Plaintiff to "notify the Court whether he intends to represent	
19	himself in this matter or whether he has secured substitute counsel and whether he intends to prosecute	
20	this action." ( <i>Id.</i> at 3) Plaintiff was directed to file the notification "[n]o later than September 1,	
21	<b><u>2017</u></b> ." ( <i>Id.</i> , emphasis in original) To date, Plaintiff has not indicated that he would prosecute the	
22	action, or notified the Court whether or not he would represent himself.	
23	The Local Rules, corresponding with Fed. R. Civ. P. 11, provide: "Failure of counsel or of a	
24	party to comply with any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court of any	
25	and all sanctions within the inherent power	of the Court." Local Rule 110. "District courts have
26	inherent power to control their dockets," and in exercising that power, a court may impose sanctions	
27	including dismissal of an action. Thompson v. Housing Authority of Los Angeles, 782 F.2d 829, 831	
28	(9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action	n with prejudice, based on a party's failure to prosecute
		1

1	an action or failure to obey a court order, or failure to comply with local rules. See, e.g. Ferdik v.		
2	Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (dismissal for failure to comply with an order);		
3	Malone v. U.S. Postal Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987) (dismissal for failure to comply with a		
4	court order); Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (dismissal for failure to		
5	prosecute and to comply with local rules).		
6	Accordingly, Plaintiff is <b>ORDERED</b> to show cause <u>within fourteen days</u> of the date of		
7	service of this Order why the action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute or to follow the		
8	Court's Order or, in the alternative, to notify the Court whether he intends to represent himself or has		
9	secured counsel.		
10			
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
12	Dated: September 6, 2017 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston		
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19 20			
20			
21			
22 23			
23 24			
24			
26			
27			
28			
	2		