1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	THERESA BROOKE,	Case No.: 1:16-cv-01509 LJO JLT
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK TO CLOSE THE ACTION
13	V.	THE ACTION
14	SHIV HOTELS, LLC,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	On November 14, 2016, Plaintiff filed a notice of her voluntary dismissal of the action. (Doc.	
18	9) According to Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, "the plaintiff may dismiss an action	
19	without a court order by filing: (i) a notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an	
20	answer or a motion for summary judgment" Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A). Once such a notice has	
21	been filed, an order of the Court is not required to make the dismissal effective. Fed. R. Civ. P.	
22	41(a)(1)(ii); Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997).	
23	Thus, the Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to close this action in light of the notice of dismissal	
24	with prejudice.	
25		
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27 28	Dated: November 15, 2016	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE