

MORGHAN JUSTYNE CHAVEZ,)	Case No.: 1:16-cv-01559- JLT
)	
Plaintiff,)	ORDER GRANTING A FURTHER EXTENSION
)	OF TIME
v.)	
)	(Doc. 17)
NANCY A. BERRYHILL ¹ ,)	
Acting Commissioner of Social Security,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

Beyond the single extension by stipulation, “requests to modify [the scheduling] order must be made by written motion and will be granted only for good cause.” (Doc. 5 at 4) Accordingly, the Court construes the stipulation of the parties to be a motion by Defendant to amend the Scheduling Order. Defendant’s counsel, Donna Anderson, previously requested the extension of time because she had “conflicting due dates.” (Doc. 15 at 1) Ms. Anderson now seeks a further extension of time “to allow the agency to fully consider the government’s position.” (Doc. 17 at 1-2) Plaintiff does not

1

1 oppose the request for a further extension of time, or modification of the Court's Scheduling order.

2 (See Doc. 17 at 2) Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS**:

- 3 1. The request for an extension of time is **GRANTED**;
- 4 2. Defendant **SHALL** file a response to the opening brief no later than **September 20,**
5 **2017**; and
- 6 3. The parties are advised that no further extensions of time will be granted in this action
7 absent a showing of exceptionally good cause.

8
9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated: **August 22, 2017**

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE