## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 JESUS BONILLA CASTANEDA, Case No.: 1:16-cv-01562-LJO-SKO (PC) 12 Plaintiff, ORDER REFERRING THE CASE TO 13 POST-SCREENING ADR PROJECT AND v. STAYING THE CASE FOR 90 DAYS SHERMAN, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 17 When at least one Defendant has been served or appeared, the Court is referring all post-18 screening, civil rights cases filed by pro se inmates to the Post-Screening Alternative Dispute 19 Resolution Project to attempt to resolve cases more quickly and less expensively. Defense counsel 20 from the Office of the California Attorney General has agreed to participate in this pilot project. No 21 defenses or objections are waived by participation. 22 As set forth in the screening order, the Court has found Plaintiff has stated at least one 23 cognizable civil rights claim. Thus, the Court STAYS this action for 90 days to allow the parties to 24 investigate Plaintiff's claims, meet and confer and participate in a settlement conference. 25 There is a presumption that all post-screening civil rights cases assigned to the undersigned will proceed to settlement conference.<sup>1</sup> However, if after investigating Plaintiff's claims 26 27 28 <sup>1</sup> If the case does not settle during the stay, Court will set a deadline for the responsive pleading at the conference.

good faith that a settlement conference would be a waste of resources<sup>2</sup>, defense counsel may move to opt out of this pilot project.

Within 35 days, the assigned Deputy Attorney General SHALL contact the Courtroom

and speaking with Plaintiff, and after conferring with defense counsel's supervisor, counsel finds in

Within 35 days, the assigned Deputy Attorney General SHALL contact the Courtroom Deputy Clerk at WKusamura@caed.uscourts.gov, to schedule the settlement conference. If the settlement conference cannot be set quickly due to the court's calendar, the parties may seek an extension of the initial 90-day stay.

Based upon the foregoing, the Court **ORDERS**:

- 1. This action is STAYED for 90 days to allow the parties an opportunity to settle their dispute before a responsive pleading is filed, or the discovery process begins. No other pleadings or other documents may be filed in this case during the stay. The parties SHALL NOT engage in formal discovery, but they may jointly agree to engage in informal discovery.
- 2. <u>Within 30 days from the date of this order</u>, the parties **SHALL** file the attached notice, indicating their agreement to proceed to an early settlement conference or whether they believe settlement is not achievable at this time. In addition, they **SHALL** indicate whether they object to the undersigned conducting the settlement conference.
- 3. Within 35 days from the date of this order, if a court supervised settlement conference is likely to be beneficial, the assigned Deputy Attorney General SHALL contact this court's Courtroom Deputy Clerk at WKusamura@caed.uscourts.gov, to schedule the settlement conference and the parties SHALL initiate settlement discussions;
- 4. If the parties settle their case during the stay of this action, they **SHALL** file a Notice of Settlement as required by Local Rule 160;
- The Clerk of the Court **SHALL** serve via email, a copy of this order to ADR
   Coordinator Sujean Park;
- 6. The parties are reminded of their obligation to keep the court informed of any changes of addresses during the stay and while the action is pending. Changes of address must be reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> By way of guidance, if the defense intends to file an exhaustion motion and believes in good faith that it has a significant chance of success, this would be a likely circumstance where the opt-out provision should be employed.

1	promptly in a separate document entitled "Notice of Change of Address." See L.R. 182(f).		
2			
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
4	Date 1 Monch 29 2010	s Sheila K. Oberto	
5	Dated: March 28, 2019	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	TECHIC DONIN I A CACTANEDA	C N 116 01562 LIO GWO (PO)	
11	JESUS BONILLA CASTANEDA,	Case No.: 1:16-cv-01562-LJO-SKO (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	NOTICE REGARDING EARLY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE	
13	V.		
14	SHERMAN, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	As required by the Court's order:		
18			
19	1. The party or counsel for the part	y signing below, agrees that there is a good chance that	
20	an early settlement conference will resolve this action and wishes to engage in an early settlement		
21	conference.		
22	Yes No		
23			
24	2. The party or counsel for the part	y signing below, agrees the assigned Magistrate Judge	
25	may conduct the settlement conference.		
26	Yes No	<u> </u>	
27	///		
28	///		

	1	
1	3.	Plaintiff (Check one):
2		Would like to participate in the settlement conference in person, OR
3		Would like to participate in the settlement conference by video conference.
4		
5	Dated:	
6		Plaintiff or Counsel for Defendants
7		Traintiff of Counsel for Defendants
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		