1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOHN WESLEY WILLIAMS,) Case No. 1:16-cv-01584-LJO-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,)) \\ ORDER OVERRULING PLAINTIFF'S
13	V.	OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND
14	C. BELL, et al.,) TO DISCOVERY
15	Defendants.) [ECF No. 55]
16)
17	Plaintiff John Wesley Williams is appearing pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	On September 4, 2018, the Court granted Defendants' request to extend the time to respond to	
20	Plaintiff's discovery requests. (ECF No. 54.)	
21	On September 12, 2018, Plaintiff filed objections to the Defendants' request and to the order	
22	granting such request. (ECF No. 55.)	
23	In Defendants' September 4, 2018 motion, defense counsel declared that the parties have been	
24	discussing settlement and due to counsel's pressing workload, an additional three weeks was necessary	
25	to respond to Plaintiff's outstanding discovery requests. (Chen Decl. ¶¶ 7-9.) The Court notes that on	
26	April 4, 2018, the Court scheduled a settlement conference and stayed the proceedings for 120 days.	
27	(ECF No. 37.) On July 18, 2018, a settlement conference was conducted, but the case did not settle,	
28	and the stay was vacated. (ECF Nos. 50, 51.)	
		1

1	Plaintiff contends his efforts to resolve this case "should not become grounds or reason to	
2	delay discovery responses." (ECF No. 55 at 1.) Defense counsel's declaration was sufficient to	
3	support a finding of good cause to warrant an extension of the discovery, and Plaintiff's objections	
4	thereto are overruled. <u>See</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(b). Accordingly, Plaintiff's objections to the Court's	
5	September 4, 2018 order are overruled.	
6		
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
8	Dated: September 13, 2018	
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26 27		
27		
20	2	
	<u>_</u>	