1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOHN WESLEY WILLIAMS,) Case No. 1:16-cv-01584-LJO-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING DEFENDANTS TO FILE A RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTION TO LODGING OF DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT [ECF No. 85]
13	V.	
14	C. BELL, et al.,	
15	Defendants.)
16		_)
17	Plaintiff John Wesley Williams is appearing pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	On April 29, 2019, Plaintiff filed an objection to the lodging of the deposition transcripts.	
20	Defendants did not file a response. Local Rule 230(1). The Court construes Plaintiff's objection as a	
21	motion to suppress the deposition transcript and testimony under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure	
22	32(d)(4), because he did not have the opportunity to review the deposition under Federal Rule of Civli	
23	Procedure 30(e). However, based on the review of Plaintiff's objection, it is not entirely clear that	
24	Defendants would be on notice to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition. That said, the	
25	Court finds that a response from Defendants is necessary in order to fully address Plaintiff's objection	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that within **ten** (**10**) days from the date of service of this order, Defendants shall file a response to Plaintiff's objection to the deposition transcript, filed April 29, 2019. (ECF No. 85.)

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 21, 2019

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Lug A. De