1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STAT	'ES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8			
9			
10	EMIGDIO GUZMAN OJEDA,	1:16 -cv-01588 SKO (HC)	
11	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR	
12	v.	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	
13	SCOTT FRAUENHEIM,	(Document#3)	
14	Respondent.		
15			
16	Petitioner has requested the appointm	ent of counsel. There currently exists no	
17	 absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. <i>See, e.g., Anderson v.</i> <i>Heinze</i>, 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); <i>Mitchell v. Wyrick</i>, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case if "the interests of justice so require." <i>See</i> Rule 8(c), Rules 		
18			
19			
20			
21	Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the present case, the Court does not find that the interests		
22	of justice require the appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS		
23	HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is DENIED.		
24			
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
26	Dated: October 25, 2016	s Sheila K. Oberto	
27		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
28	; ;		
		1	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28