1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SHONDEL LARKIN,	) Case No.: 1:16-cv-01590-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH A COURT ORDER AND FAILURE TO STATE A COGNIZABLE CLAIM FOR RELIEF
13	v.	
14	SHEBA SHIVER, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	) ) [ECF No. 13]
16		)
17	Plaintiff Shondel Larkin is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action	
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff consented to United States Magistrate Judge jurisdiction on	
19	October 13, 2016. (ECF No. 4.)	
20	On March 31, 2017, the Court dismissed Plaintiff's complaint for failure to state a claim under	
21	section 1983 and ordered Plaintiff to file an amended complaint within thirty (30) days. 28 U.S.C.	
22	1915A; 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e).	
23	More than thirty days have since passed, and Plaintiff has not complied with or otherwise	
24	responded to the Court's order. As a result, there is no pleading on file which sets forth any claims	
25	upon which relief may be granted. Within <b>twenty-one</b> (21) days from the date of service of this	
26		
27		
28	///	

order, Plaintiff is directed to show cause why this action should not be dismissed. Failure to comply with this order will result in the action being dismissed for failure to state a cognizable claim for relief. IT IS SO ORDERED. my S. 13 Dated: May 10, 2017 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE