1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ROSA FERNANDEZ,) Case No.: 1:16-cv-1591-JLT	
12	Plaintiff,)) ORDER GRANTING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S) REQUEST FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME	
13	v.) (Doc. 16)	
14	NANCY A. BERRYHILL ¹ ,)	
15	Acting Commissioner of Social Security, Defendant.)	
16			
17	On August 16, 2017, the parties stipulated for an extension of time for Plaintiff to file an		
18	opening brief. (Doc. 16) Plaintiff assets that the "[r]equest is made pursuant to paragraph 12 of the		
19	Scheduling Order, Doc. No. 5, permitting a 30-day extension without the need for court approval		
20	although the stipulation nevertheless must be filed." (Id. at 2)		
21	Notably, the Scheduling Order permits "a <i>single</i> thirty (30) day extension by stipulation of		
22	the parties." (Doc. 5 at 4, emphasis added) Any additional request for modification of the schedule		
23	"must be made by written motion and will be granted only for good cause." (Id.) Previously, the		
24	parties stipulated for an extension of thirty-days for the Commissioner to file the administrative record.		
25	(Docs. 9, 10) As a result, any additional requests were be filed by written motion, with the support of		
26	good cause. (See Doc. 5 at 4) Accordingly, the Court construes the stipulation for an extension of time		
27			
28	Nancy A. Berrynill is now the Acting Commis Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court substitutes Nancy A	sioner of Social Security. Pursuant to Rule 25(d) of the Federal Berryhill for her predecessor Carolyn W. Colvin, as the defendant	

1 to be a motion by Plaintiff to amend the Scheduling Order.

2	In making the request to amend the schedule, Plaintiff fails to identify <i>any</i> reason to support the		
3	requested extension. (See Doc. 16) Consequently, the Court is unable to find that good cause exists to		
4	support the request. However, the request was filed on the day the opening brief was due ² , and the		
5	Commissioner does not oppose modification of the briefing schedule. Accordingly, the Court		
6	ORDERS :		
7	1.	The request for an extension of time is GRANTED IN PART ;	
8	2. Plaintiff SHALL file an opening brief no later than August 28, 2017 ; and		
9	3.	The parties are reminded that any additional requests for extensions of time SHALL be	
10		supported by good cause.	
11			
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13	Dated:	August 18, 2017/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston	
14		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	2		
		2	