UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

ALBERT VALDEZ,

Plaintiff,

VS.

WARDEN OF PVSP, et al.,

Defendants.

1:16-cv-01599-DAD-GSA-PC

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, RECOMMENDING THAT THIS CASE BE DISMISSED. WITH PREJUDICE, FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM (ECF No. 15.)

OBJECTIONS, IF ANY, DUE IN FOURTEEN (14) DAYS

Albert Valdez ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* with this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On October 13, 2016, Plaintiff filed the Complaint commencing this action at the United States District Court for the Central District of California. (ECF No. 1.) On October 21, 2016, Plaintiff's case was transferred to this court. (ECF No. 5.)

23

The court screened the Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A and issued an order on August 28, 2017, dismissing the Complaint for failure to state a claim, with leave to amend. (ECF No. 12.) On October 25, 2017, Plaintiff filed the First Amended Complaint. (ECF No. 15.)

2627

28

25

On February 12, 2018, the court issued an order dismissing Plaintiff's First Amended for failure to state a claim, with leave to file an amended complaint within thirty days. (ECF

No. 17.) The thirty-day deadline has now expired, and Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order. As a result, there is no pleading on file which sets forth any claims upon which relief may be granted.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

- 1. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A and 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), this case be DISMISSED, with prejudice, based on Plaintiff's failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted under § 1983; and
- 2. This dismissal be subject to the "three strikes" provision of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen (14) days from the date of service of these findings and recommendations, Plaintiff may file written objections with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of rights on appeal. Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014) (citing Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991)).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 30, 2018 /s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE